Grenze International Journal of Engineering and Technology, June Issue

A Review on Latest Trends on Image Fusion

Vipin Kumar Gupta[1], Dr. Nagendra Kumar Swarnkar[2] and Dr. Mukesh Kumar Gupta[3]
[1]Research Scholar, ECE, Suresh Gyan Vihar University, Jaipur, INDIA
Email: vipinsbcet@gmail.com
[2-3]Professor, EE, Suresh Gyan Vihar University, Jaipur, INDIA
Email: swarnkar.n123@gmail.com, mkgupta72@gmail.com

***Abstract*— Image fusion is the method of integrating various photos that belong to the same scenario into a single, high-quality image with improved visual appeal. An unconventional survey of numerous image fusion methods is presented in this work. The researchers are conducting thorough analysis of numerous contemporary non-traditional procedures. In this publication, every type of picture fusion technique is explored. The paper primarily concentrates on outlining the main objective, strengths, and shortcomings of that work. Pros & cons of all discussed papers are listed in detailed style for thorough comprehension. The aim of this study is to assist new researchers in comprehending the present status in research of the fusion process.**

***Index Terms*— Image Fusion, Non-conventional methods, Multi-modal Image Fusion, Multi-focus Image Fusion, CNN.**

I. INTRODUCTION

Image fusion, in which "quality" is decided by the specific field of usage, is the procedure of integrating several photographs of the same scenario to produce a better and effective image of high entropy. As a result, for this procedure to produce good results, more than one photograph is required. While there are many applications of image fusion, the following are the most fundamental or foundational techniques:

a. the collection of multiple images with distinctive properties
b. image registration
c. image fusion or the combination of several images with various attributes.

Inspite of the fact that the idea of image fusion is relatively new, it has been used, discussed, and developed during the last three decades. The two primary methods of image fusion and metric evaluation currently have strong foundations.

The use of imagery fusion and registrations to imagery can be bifurcated in two categories: On one side, they fall under the category of registrations, and on the other, they could be pronounced as "fusion". Specification recognition, transform model prediction & resampling, attribute correspondence and source image modification are the four entirely independent processes used in the development of these images. Image fusion relies heavily on feature detection, which is the identification of spatial, geometrical, visual, and dimensional features. The conceptual function of feature matching is to detect, construct, and understand relationships between previously defined qualities. In other words, predictions on a map about the output images are often thought of as being distinct from the expected transformations.

In this instance, the exception holds true because a transform function is employed to build a correlation among

Grenze ID: 01.GIJET.10.2.56

the source images and the fused image. Finally, the concluding images are modified using functions that are previously defined to better match the specific image. The dpi density of source image is also a complicated issue, and it may be challenging to separate the desired outcomes of a focussed image from an image data collection of low dpi. It could be necessary to use a fresh source image or an image fusion approach as a remedy to this problem. The aspects which are highlighted during this technique are divided into a number of fusion categories, each of which is given a unique set of qualities. This output image exemplifies image fusion, in which many source images of the same object are combined so that these images have same modality (for example, using an x-ray or magnetic resonance), so that these can be taken at different angles, with different environmental conditions, and with the intention of understanding and obtaining additional information from different viewpoints. Monitoring temperature, detecting stationary objects, mapping activity of molecules, diagnosing diseases, mapping the morphologies of items in source images, and mapping object size & shape evolution are just a few of the many applications for mapping techniques.

A Multi-time fusion

This algorithm of fusion technique aims to understand and recognise variation of pixels in the photographs of the same thing in several modalities and time-frames. It is meant to uncover smoothness and roughness in the region of interest. It is usual practise to use this method, which is referred to as "subtraction." More focused medical applications cover issues like satellite detections, earthquakes, and weather patterns. These research may also analyse developing scenarios.

B. Multi-modal fusion

This reduces image fusion redundancy by fusing numerous true color images from different datasets, such as CT scans, PET scans, magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) and infrared spectroscopy (IRS) which each produce separate and clear band-specific information. The majority of its applications are in medicine, where it is used to identify illnesses.

Multi-modal fusion is often created by using object-level fusion and transforming time or frequency domains continuously.

A picture of the item is produced using the multiple-focus fusion image that is more discrete, more concentrated, and finite. When more focus is required in a certain area of an image, the image is divided into segments that concentrate on that area. Then the segments are combined to enhance the intensity of light coming from the image. Its goal is to produce a picture that is entirely focused. It can be found throughout many sectors.

When an object's image is changed in real time, a complicated image restoration method utilising focussed fusion is employed to create a discrete and detailed image of the object. The pixel data of each image is a discrete, high dpi resolution, and unaltered region, whereas the unwanted part is characterised by the nuances of the signal and the corruption of the image. All of the images will be fully recovered if the "real portion" and the "degraded section" of each image will be recovered. The final image is created using only the portions of the photos that were not edited.

C. Multi-focus image fusion

This process employs the application of many source images and their constituent properties are summed to generate the final fused image. The images have varying levels of focus. This new, enhanced image is created by combining other images, whose output is an image that is more efficient, precise, and full of information compared to the other original images. Multi-focus image fusion (MFIF), the procedure of concatenating (fusing) and integrating utility data with source images to generate a more accurate output image, is a very important algorithm. This instruction set included various applications, such as the capacity to capture accurate object photography applications, extract image data from infrared sensors, enhancing film photography, capturing data from infrasound devices, and radiometers; enhancing medical imaging for curing illnesses and other medical conditions, along with monitoring or diagnostic applications. Additionally, it finds application in many other fields such as visual sensor networks (VSN) were specifically created for transmitting the visual information provided by the corresponding sensors (such as cameras, microscopes, radars, or sonar) on these networks, since almost all of the information captured, recorded, or processed is of a high entropy, concentration, clarity, dot per inch resolution, or moving objects, though not extremely low quality. In addition, if one item is isolated in the image due to the focal length of the camera, the background and other properties tend to decrease. For objects of varying sizes, however, many ways to capture images are available, with certain constraints observed like those of high frequency to capture images or long duration in capturing images, finite battery life, etc. For this process to work efficiently, the huge amount of available data should be employed in a perfect way to get the expected output of a concentrated, discrete and high-resolution image without the employing VSN technology. So the usefulness of previously invented VSN devices, such as those which use 3D, 2D or resolution (dpi) to separate or define substances, might be considerably altered.

Over the years, the two most often used methods for multi-focused picture fusion have been based on either 2D or 3D techniques. Spatial approaches use image filters to protect certain pixels in the source images before combining those pixels or regions to produce the best results.

Instead, the application of 'Multi-scale Geometric Investigation' (MGI), which looks for and improves geometric smoothness and image objects, is a more fundamental component of the methodology's transformation.

Convolutional Neural Networks (CNN) and its cousins, such as p-CNN, MSCNN and ECNN are notably used in the application of deep learning concepts to create picture fusion, having the capacity to distinguish numerous target regions or pixel objects in the image. Although the fundamental strategy—using CNN to distinguish between concentrated and dispersed patches—remains the same, it is highlighted in this case. Efficient preprocessing methods support the techniques mentioned recently. In Section I, the many facets of image fusion are introduced. With thorough goal explanations, Section II explores a variety of unconventional picture fusion strategies. The paper is concluded in Section III.

II. INNOVATIVE REVIEW ON IMAGE FUSION TECHNIQUE

In [1], although visible photos provide structural details, photographs that detect infrared light may be able to differentiate objects that emit infrared. Utilising fusion GAN to merge data, this research's innovative methodology used fusion GAN. Combining a generator with a discriminator in this method.

The fused image is created by the generator using visible gradients and infrared intensities. The discriminator provides more information about the composite image. The image is combined with both visible and infrared texturing. The [2] addresses two basic picture fusion measurement and fusion rule parameters. A focus map is produced after extracting information from a large number of source photos and filtering them to determine activity intensity. A focus map is a crucial part of numerous picture fusions. To identify picture focus in the source photos, a distinctive neural network pattern is applied. Gradient Transfer Fusion [3], a unique technique for fusing infrared and visible light, reduces total variance. The imaging method also focussed on the pixel data included in the visible and infrared images of heat radiation. [4] provides a novel multi-focus technique-based picture fusion method. The super resolution technique is used as the initial step to boost the level of detail in the original images. Before being processed by SWT, the images are made ready, SWT is used to break them into sub-bands. Then, each sub-band is subjected to the PCA (principal component analysis), which identifies the vector with the greatest magnitude. Using the interpolation method, a new composite image is created by using ISWT to blend a new image with an already-existing one.

The main focus of the [5] paper is on fusing together a range of partial focused images to create a focused image that contains all of the focused items. The desired area is found using the method they created, which is called a CAB (ContourBased method). A zone's pixels are weighted more severely when the CAB method is applied to a blurred area, whereas a zone's identical pixels are weighted less heavily when applied to a focused area. In the end, the focused areas are fused to proliferate an image that contains every useful information. Contrast investigation into the domain of Fuzzy transformation is a component of the main method, referred to as Fuzzy transformation, that is described in this work. This situation lends itself to the application of fuzzy transformation, which produces a better outcome. In order to allow you to begin applying your algorithms, the input image has been divided into various non-overlapping regions. A group of Fuzzy Transformation Components [6] are used to extract useful features from the input photos in order to give this outstanding information. Inverse fuzzy transformation is a technique that is used to replicate the fused image inverse fuzzy transformation approach. A suggestion can be made such as the process might use an image fusion approach using a range of focuses and exposure times [7].

The strategy employed examines the various rate of changes of each pixel in the provided image. Image reconstruction is accomplished via hair wavelet image reconstruction. This created an introductory frame for image fusion (SR) using multi-scale transformation (MST) [8] and sparse portrayal. Using this method, errors in SR-based and MST image fusion systems can be avoided. The MST methodology is used in this procedure to identify the low-pass and high-pass coefficients of each source image. When using the SR technique, coefficients of a low pass filter are used; while absolute coefficients are used when a high pass filter is employed. Finally, image fusion is employed to derive the inverse function so that pixels of the output image can be calculated using the fused coefficients The research [9] provided a detailed procedure to fuse infrared and visible images. The RGB 2D signal

and an infrared image are subjected to a discrete cosine transform (DCT), which creates a swarm intelligence-based method for the surveillance application.
Particle swarm optimization optimises a variety of weighting schemes. It uses a certain set of parameters for computation of pixel values in RGB image and infrared image fusion output. The DCT is inverted as a result of the application of the discrete cosine transform and histogram equalisation, and this image is produced as required.
[10] suggests a deep learning (DL) method for picture fusion that incorporates both VIS and IR images. The fused image was created as a result of this method, which used temporal categorization and CNN-based image encryption. The [11] methodology described in this article explains the results of applying test fusion to the common CNN data set.
Image fusion, which further strengthens a single image using input photos, is a well-known technique for integrating several images. The method used by the residual convolution network [12] to combine the coarser and finer resolution portions into a better resolution component is described in this paper. For picture fusion in this concept, a fluid integrated, integrated spectrum technique is used, along with spatial resolution. The wavelet decomposition method [13] is used to process the data and create the images you see here. The generation of a weight coefficient for each image component is the first step in creating a fused image, which is then optimised to create.
The idea illustrated in this graphic illustrates how sensor data from the RGB, Multispectral filter array (MFA) and NIR can be merged to produce fused images.
The usage of the NIR spectrum increases the likelihood of higher detailed images being produced by sensors.
The source photos are obtained using several sensors and are shown in [14]. This study shows that the picture fusion method aims to restore as much data from the source images as possible. Regularly utilised filtering techniques are used to improve the fused image's overall quality. [15] introduces the idea of multi-sensor picture fusion, commonly referred to as data fusion. There are constraints containing a special application layer for MS picture data. In order to achieve this, it is important to establish a method for estimating the spectrum weights that can be utilized to mark the pixels of uniformity through super-pixel segmentation.
A method for mixing multiple pictures based on thePartially Connected Network Neighbourhood (PCNN) and National Synthetic Vision System (NSST) was presented in [16], while NSST is employed for multiscale, multidirectional, and shift-invariance, PCNN is utilised for coupling and transmitting pixel value data.
The suggested paper has as its title "Multi-Sensor Image Fusion". The majority of the strategies focus on standardising and emphasising the minor details that don't appeal to buyers. Multisensory picture registration [17], segmentation of the frequently visited area, and object attribute extraction are some of these techniques. A revolutionary image fusion concept that integrates a number of object features into an algorithm was also put out by him. The [18] study suggests a novel method for fusing two pics of the same image with two images of equal size using a curvelet approach. An IR sensor image and a high-resolution RGB image with hidden objects are combined to create a single, continuous image. The work done in [19] uses the multi-sensor image fusion technique (MIFT) to reduce noise in digital photographs. The output of this article uses a source image from five sensors. Each image is processed using the vector median filter approach, which then fuses every image into a single improved image. The method [20] for combining visible and infrared photos into a single image is suggested in this study. We design an image fusion method based on curvelet transforms that outperforms all other examined approaches.
In [21], a new hybrid fusion method is described that combines pulse-coupled neural networks and curvelet transforms, using the latter two techniques to proliferate the output fused image. Bifurcating all the images into two divisions—high quality and standard resolution—being the first stage. Neural network function rules are then applied to a medically restored image.
The Tetradecyl Domain's Theoretical Foundation (TDTF), which was put forth in the publication [22], makes use of the ground-breaking multimodal image fusion technique known as Sparse representation categorization. Using the tetleton transform to first disassemble the images, this method then extracts characteristics from the tetleton. It employs sparse unmixing, whereby removed features are transmitted to the fusion layer, resulting in the creation of a fused image. Use the cycle-spinning method to lessen the likelihood of image artifacting. This enhanced fusion approach [23], which was described in a November 2013 study in The Journal of Scientific Computing, uses all of the characteristics extracted by the nonsubsampled shearlet transform and the adaptive biologically inspired neural modal. The low frequency components and high frequency components of the log gabor energy are applied in this method, respectively, using the adaptive neural modal and the log gabor energy rule. The methodology described in the research [24], which combines neuro-fuzzy systems and multimodal picture fusion techniques, makes use of a special neuro-fuzzy hybrid system. This technique creates an image with even more information

by combining complex images from CT, MRI, PET and SPECT scans. [25] combines the useful data acquisition modalities of MRI and PET to improve the visual views. The photos are initially given a range of filtering treatments so as to improve their quality. The DWT method of image fusion is used to integrate the improved images after they have been applied. In a study that was reported in the publication, researchers developed a revolutionary approach for medical imaging [26]. To increase the edge information, the method applies the discrete nonsubsampled contourlet transform, after which the image is passed through the pixel level fusion rule. The initial step in categorising photographs is to divide them into finer and coarser categories using transformation algorithms. The low and high frequencies are found using the pixel rule. We can mix up the image using the contourlet inverse transformation approach, creating an image that has been distorted in on itself.
A fusion method is provided in this study [27] for aligning numerous out-of-align photographs taken at the same place.
Using pixel fusion networking, an innovative user end learnable architecture has been created. This modal gathers features from the originally inputted photos and compiles them into a reference view, which is then used to produce all of the image data for the image desired. In order to provide more pertinent images, the research [28] proposes a technique that combines multi-spectral imaging. and synthetic aperture radar (SAR) imaging.
For this study, data is gathered through remote sensing. The proposed HMpLSA technique is employed in this paper to fuse the images. The outcomes of earlier approaches are so contrasted. The research [29] presents a comparison of picture fusion methods used for IRS satellite images. On P5 and P6 satellite pictures, five distinct fusion methods—conventional IHS, Brovey, Modified HIS, PCA, and wavelet algorithms—have been assessed and investigated. The following factors are taken into consideration while evaluating the spectrum of the fused image: correlation coefficient, spectral discrepancy, RMSE, and mean.
There are limitations per pixel change. In an effort to gauge the spatial quality, it makes use of edge detection, average gradient, high pass filtering and the entropy notion. According to the findings, updated HIS fusion improved the performance of IRS image fusion. This research [30] shows an enhanced fusing of optical and IR images using a novel image fusion methodology. In this technology, continuous genetic algorithms are employed to perform pixel-level fusion, which is then followed by heuristic crossover for image regeneration. Entropy is used to evaluate the algorithm's performance in comparison to previously employed techniques. This technology has applications in multispectral face recognition, remote sensing, and imaging for medical diagnosis.
A novel approach based on wavelet technique for multi-exposed picture fusion is presented in the publication [31]. For image fusion, this technique involves three phases. Input images are first converted to YUV spatial data, and the difference between the U-V components is then combined with saturated weights. Second, the Y component is converted into a domain of wavelets, where it is fused with calculated weights and approximate weights to create approximate subbands and detail subbands. Finally, the merged image is converted to RGB space.

III. CONCLUSION

This work provides a thorough analysis of various picture fusion methods, one of which uses an unconventional method. The study includes a comprehensive analysis of some of the most recent non-conventional image fusion methods. For new researchers who are interested in understanding the condition of the image fusion discipline at the moment, this publication is quite helpful. The numerous picture fusion techniques covered in this article can be divided into the following main groups: The main focus of this essay is to explain the justification, benefits, and drawbacks of the article we'll be debating. All of the aforementioned articles' advantages and disadvantages are listed in this paper for ease of access and comprehension for those who are novice in the era of research in image fusion.

REFERENCES

[1] Ma, Jiayi, et al. "FusionGAN: A generative adversarial network for infrared and visible image fusion." Information Fusion 48 (2019): 11-26.
[2] Liu, Yu, et al. "Multi-focus image fusion with a deep convolutional neural network." Information Fusion 36 (2017): 191-207.
[3] Ma, Jiayi, et al. "Infrared and visible image fusion via gradient transfer and total variation minimization." Information Fusion 31 (2016): 100-109.
[4] Aymaz, Samet, and Cemal Köse. "A novel image decomposition based hybrid technique with super-resolution method for multifocus image fusion." Information Fusion 45 (2019): 113-127.
[5] Farid, Muhammad Shahid, Arif Mahmood, and Somaya Ali AlMaadeed. "Multi-focus image fusion using content adaptive blurring." Information fusion 45 (2019): 96-112.

[6] Nandal, Amita, and Hamurabi Gamboa Rosales. "Enhanced image fusion using directional contrast rules in the fuzzy transform domain." SpringerPlus 5.1 (2016): 1846.
[7] Paul, Sujoy, Ioana S. Sevcenco, and Panajotis Agathoklis. "Multiexposure and multi-focus image fusion in gradient domain." Journal of Circuits, Systems and Computers 25.10 (2016): 1650123.
[8] Liu, Yu, Shuping Liu, and Zengfu Wang. "A general framework for image fusion based on multi-scale transform and sparse representation." Information fusion 24 (2015): 147-164.
[9] Paramanandham, Nirmala, and Kishore Rajendiran. "Infrared and visible image fusion using discrete cosine transform and swarm intelligence for surveillance applications." Infrared Physics & Technology 88 (2018): 13-22.
[10] Piao, Jingchun, Yunfan Chen, and Hyunchul Shin. "A new deep learning based multi-spectral image fusion method." Entropy 21.6 (2019): 570.
[11] Palsson, Frosti, Johannes R. Sveinsson, and Magnus O. Ulfarsson. "Single sensor image fusion using a deep convolutional generative adversarial network." 2018 9th Workshop on Hyperspectral Image and Signal Processing: Evolution in Remote Sensing (WHISPERS). IEEE, 2018.
[12] Zhou, Hui, and Hongmin Gao. "Fusion method for remote sensing image based on fuzzy integral." Journal of Electrical and Computer Engineering 2014 (2014).
[13] Jee, Seung Hoon, and Moon Gi Kang. "Image fusion method for a single sensor based multispectral filter array containing a near infra-red channel." Electronic Imaging 2016.15 (2016): 1-5.
[14] Shouhong, Chen, et al. "A Multi-exposure Image Fusion Method with Detail Preservation." MATEC Web of Conferences. Vol. 173. EDP Sciences, 2018.
[15] Li, Hong, et al. "Application of multi-sensor image fusion of Internet of Things in image processing." IEEE Access 6 (2018): 50776-50787.
[16] Jin, Xin, et al. "Multifocus color image fusion based on NSST and PCNN." Journal of Sensors 2016 (2016).
[17] Yan, Z., and L. Yan. "Some New Concepts and Key Techniques in Multi-Sensor Image Fusion." Science Times. Com. Cn (2010): 1-19.
[18] Taher, Gehad Mohamed, M. E. Wahed, and G. E. Taweal. "New approach for image fusion based on curvelet approach." Int. J. Adv. Comput. Sci. Appl 5.7 (2014): 67-73.
[19] Premakumar, M., N. Sowjanya, and P. RajeshKumar. "MultiSensor Image Fusion for Impulse Noise Reduction in Digital Images." Global Journal of Computer Science and Technology (2011).
[20] Wang, Zhi-guo, Wei Wang, and Baolin Su. "Multi-sensor image fusion algorithm based on multiresolution analysis." International Journal of Online and Biomedical Engineering (iJOE) 14.06 (2018): 44-57.
[21] Rajalingam, B., and R. Priya. "A novel approach for multimodal medical image fusion using hybrid fusion algorithms for disease analysis." International Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics 117.15 (2017): 599-619.
[22] Shahdoosti, Hamid Reza, and Adel Mehrabi. "Multimodal image fusion using sparse representation classification in tetrolet domain." Digital Signal Processing 79 (2018): 9-22
[23] Singh, Sneha, and R. S. Anand. "Multimodal neurological image fusion based on adaptive biological inspired neural model in nonsubsampled Shearlet domain." International Journal of Imaging Systems and Technology 29.1 (2019): 50-64.
[24] Rajalingam, B., and R. Priya. "Hybrid multimodality medical image fusion technique for feature enhancement in medical diagnosis." International Journal of Engineering Science Invention 2.Special issue (2018): 52-60.
[25] Bhavana, V., and H. K. Krishnappa. "Multi-modality medical image fusion using discrete wavelet transform." Procedia Computer Science 70 (2015): 625-631.
[26] Mankar, Rupali, and Nivedita Daimiwal. "Multimodal medical image fusion under nonsubsampled contourlet transform domain." 2015 International Conference on Communications and Signal Processing (ICCSP). IEEE, 2015.
[27] Bhatnagar, Gaurav, QM Jonathan Wu, and Zheng Liu. "Directive contrast based multimodal medical image fusion in NSCT domain." IEEE transactions on multimedia 15.5 (2013): 1014- 1024.
[28] Fernandez-Beltran, Ruben, et al. "Remote sensing image fusion using hierarchical multimodal probabilistic latent semantic analysis." IEEE Journal of Selected Topics in Applied Earth Observations and Remote Sensing 11.12 (2018): 4982-4993.
[29] Yakhdani, Mohammad Fallah, and Ali Azizi. Quality assessment of image fusion techniques for multisensor high resolution satellite images (case study: IRS-P5 and IRS-P6 satellite images). na, 2010.
[30] Bhavana, D., and V. Rajesh. "A New Pixel Level Image Fusion Method based on Genetic Algorithm." Indian Journal of Science and Technology 9.45 (2016).
[31] Zhang, Wenlong, et al. "Multi-exposure image fusion based on wavelet transform." International Journal of Advanced Robotic Systems 15.2 (2018): 172988141876893