

A Comprehensive Study of Understanding the Dynamics of Cyber Grooming through Behavioral Analysis

Vinoth P¹ and Anil Kumar K M²

¹Department of Computer Science and Engineering, JSS University, Noida

²Department of Computer Science and Engineering, JSSSTU, Mysore

Abstract— This paper explains online grooming, which facilitates the abuse of children and is a menace all over the world as far as youth are concerned. The paper relies on definitions, events, and characteristics of online grooming to plunge into the depths of interaction between predators and the youths over the internet. The review links child sexual abuse theories and internet behaviors that apply in this scenario. The paper is talking about the protests of children on the internet (sending Snapchats to strangers, sharing personal information), as well as the various manipulation strategies of online groomers (flattery, bribing, threats, etc.). Even though the models and typologies can guide the professionals to comprehend this crime, the unique and heterogeneous character of the internet offenders, victims, and their relationships must be recognized. It is important to understand the nature of online groomers to formulate interventions that would mitigate this predatory activity. In particular, the review states that online grooming of youth is a subject whose research is limited. It highlights the fact that additional researches are required in order to develop on the available studies in this field.

Keywords— cyber grooming; child abuse; internet; sexual abuse; young people.

I. INTRODUCTION

People can chat with and connect over the internet, which alters everything. Some individuals argue that social media portrays how people really are and highlights both the positive and negative aspects of people. But studies demonstrate that individuals respond differently in person and online. This is called disinhibition, and it also happens when people groom others online. Cybercrimes against minors are often in the news, and they worry parents, police, schools, and child safety professionals a lot. Therefore, it is essential to have a more profound comprehension of online grooming and the most significant aspects of this kind of exploitation from the viewpoints of both victims and offenders. The paper offers a literature overview of pertinent research about the online grooming of minors, delineating significant themes and trends within this domain.

II. ONLINE GROOMING

A. Definitions

Before the rise of the Internet age, the sexual exploitation of youth was considered a significant area of study (Mitchell et al., 2005).

Grooming is now widely accepted as a method used by sex offenders to help them bring their fantasies to life, both offline and online. The legal specification of the act of 'grooming' was enshrined in UK legislation for the first time under Section 15 of the Sexual Offences Act (SOA) 2003, which came into force in England and Wales in May 2004 (McAlinden, 2006). Depictions of its inclusion were progressive in the sense that they provided avenues for the criminalization of preparatory acts in advance of the sexual exploitation of children. The SOA 2003, however, does not clearly define sexual grooming, nor does it provide coverage for cases in which one person grooms a child with the intention that another person perpetrates the abuse. Craven et al. (2006) conducted a review of the existing literature. They developed a broad definition of child sexual abuse: "A process whereby an individual prepares a child, significant adults, and the environment for the sexual abuse of the child. The main goals are child access, compliance, and secrecy to protect against revelation. This process consolidates the abusive behavior of the offender and may be used to explain away or deny the actions they engage in." It can happen in the real world and online applications, though in different methods (Craven et al., 2006).

B. Prevalence

Ospina et al. (2010) reported the findings of their systematic literature review on the online sexual exploitation of youth that, according to studies relying on samples from the general population, between 13% and 19%, respectively (Wolak et al., 2006 and Finkelhor, et al., 2000) of persons between the ages of 10 and 17 experienced online sexual solicitations. Not all those solicitations came from adults, so only a portion were defined as online grooming. Additionally, these statistics were based on studies performed in the United States with similar designs (Elliott et al., 2009).

Six in ten European parents indicated their principal concern about their children online was potential grooming (European Commission, 2008). Evidence is scarce in the UK regarding the extent of online grooming, with the majority of published research still focusing on offline abuse (National Society for the Prevention of Cruelty to Children [NSPCC], 2011). Another UK study, referenced in the Ospina et al. (2010) and Bebbington et al. (2011) review, found that around 2.1% of police cases in the UK every year were associated with online grooming. This figure might be underestimated because reporting rates of this type of crime are low (Gallagher et al., 2006).

Between April 1, 2009, and March 31, 2010, the Child Exploitation and Online Protection Centre [CEOP] received 1,536 reports in the UK of suspected online grooming. Such infamy underscores both the pervasiveness of the crime and the public fear of it. This has involved reviewing and evaluating existing research into online grooming and comparing how grooming may differ online and in the 'real' world. However, this issue has been under-researched (McAlinden, 2006), and much remains to be done to explore the extent of the crime and its nature and patterns of variation.

C. Characteristics of grooming

Not only is grooming a complex and layered phenomenon, but it is also challenging to identify and define, even by those experienced in prevention work (Gillespie, 2004). Child sex offenders are not a homogeneous group, as shown by many studies (Webb et al., 2007), a diversity that is also found among online groomers (Briggs et al., 2011; European Online Grooming Project, 2012). As a result, grooming can take many different forms in terms of style, length of time taken, and intensity, and tends to mirror the personality and behavior of the perpetrator (McCarthy, 2010).

Victims and offenders will engage in online communication for some time, and young people, in particular, often take some time to build up trust, which leads the offender to groom for extended periods (McAlinden, 2006; Beech et al., 2008). The time frame of contact varied widely from seconds to years (European Online Grooming Project, 2012) according to interviews with 33 online groomers, supported by other studies (Craven et al., 2007). Previous research conducted by Wolak et al. in 2004 on 129 sexual offenses committed against adolescents that began online demonstrated that 64% of offenders were involved in communication with their targets for more than a month. In contrast, according to Briggs et al. (2011), in a sample of 51 sex offenders, 70% communicated for less than a week, and 40% interacted for less than 24 hours before making arrangements to meet. It was found that contact-driven offenders were very keen for a meeting, confining grooming over shorter periods, while fantasy-driven offenders communicated online for an average of 32.9 days (Elliott & Beech, 2009).

The differentiation between contact-driven and fantasy-driven offenders highlights varying goals within online grooming, a concept increasingly recognized in research (European Online Grooming Project, 2012). Also, offline traditional grooming processes have been described with models like Finkelhor's Four-Preconditions Model of Sexual Abuse (1984), which has been the model of reference for future models of child sexual abuse

and grooming processes online and offline. Finkelhor's (1984) model was recently expanded into Sullivan's Spiral of Sexual Abuse (2009), detailing the progression from motivation to actual abuse and informing each offender's cognitive distortions and abuse-supportive thinking. Sullivan's model has gained popularity for its visual representation and simplification of an offender's progression, making it valuable in policing communities and offender interventions (Gallagher et al., 2006).

TABLE I: COMPARISON OF MODELS OF GROOMING

	Finkelhor (1984) Preconditions	Sullivan (2009) Spiral of Abuse	Craven et al. (2006) Stages of Grooming
Internal	Motivation to sexually abuse	Motivation to sexually abuse	
	Overcoming internal inhibitors	Overcoming guilt/fear Fantasy and Masturbation	Self-grooming
External	Overcoming external inhibitors	Grooming the child and others/victim selection	Grooming the environment and significant others
		Creating opportunities with victim and others	
	Overcoming resistance of the child	Manipulating the perception of victim and others	Grooming of the child
		Preventing discovery and disclosure of victims and others	

Although grooming is imperative in such models as those of Finkelhor and Sullivan, it is viewed as a component and not a priority. The importance of grooming to the incidence of abuse has elicited the need to explore more in recent years, the role grooming plays in abuse prevention and intervention initiatives (O'Connell, 2003).

To be able to see the tremendous emotional impact that these characteristics have, one must think about the victim's point of view: In many cases documented by CEOP, the victim will report experiencing a 'faded boundary', a psychological immersion into the predator's presence through the use of emails and text messages, which demonstrates that Grooming is not just about a series of emails, but an emotional entrapment. Incorporating these victim-centred perspectives is essential if we are to move towards interventions to address the trauma and dependency created during the Grooming arc.

Manipulation

This art of manipulation, which includes corruption and intimidation in the grooming process, makes the youths behave in a way that is contrary to their own (Berson, 2003). Manipulation may be applied in grooming in various forms, which are bribes, sexualized games, gifts, force, and threats (Ospina et al., 2010). Sullivan (2009) states that offenders employ various styles of manipulation in grooming the victims and people around them, such as suffering, integrity projection, liberal thinking, insidious controlling, intimidation, and overt manipulation (Sullivan and Quayle, 2012).

The offender may decide on the style of manipulation to use depending on their personality, circumstances, and the nature of the victim. Criminals can take advantage of the affection and care systems of a young human being by flattering them to feel special (Berliner and Conte, 1990). On the other hand, a criminal may use blackmail, fear, and even intimidation to exercise authority in grooming. These methods of operation are intended to increase the assertion and power of the perpetrator, attract the victim, and create the effect of dependence on the offender (Finkelhor, 1997; Ospina et al., 2010).

It has been demonstrated, as per studies such as that one conducted by Wolak et al. (2004), that offenders will access victims via different mediums such as telephone, email, and text messages, and establish a perception of being immersed in the life of the victim. This method of infiltrating into the day-to-day life of the victim makes the victim more attached and open to the offender and makes the offender even more firmly entrenched.

Accessibility

Availability of victims is also a key factor in ascertaining the possibility of an offender indulging in grooming acts (Sullivan, 2009). The internet has changed the situation as it has created a sexual interest in young people that was hitherto unavailable to individuals. In the past, offenders mostly attacked victims in their families or social groups, such as family members, colleagues at work, or residential care, or friends (Harkins & Dixon, 2010; Sullivan & Beech, 2002). Nonetheless, as the use of the internet becomes more popular among the youth, criminals have gained more access to prospective victims outside of their immediate social group.

The internet also enables offenders to meet with potential victims in the comfort of their homes without violating their privacy in their virtual secluded worlds (Berson, 2003; O'Connell, 2003). This virtual space enables criminals to create a feeling of closeness to victims without being physically close to them, a phenomenon that is known as intimacy at arm's length (Carr, 2004). Criminals who might feel excluded or have difficulties in the real world are likely to be accepted and offered a chance of communicating on the Internet, where physical boundaries are more dynamic (Quayle and Taylor, 2002; Dombrowski et al., 2004).

O'Connell (2003) presents a typology of online grooming and points out how technology has influenced one of the most important factors of victimology, which is accessibility, opportunity, and vulnerability. According to what is seen in chatrooms, this typology explains the grooming stages that include forming a friendship and the further development of the relationship, risk assessment, exclusivity, and acting out sexual fantasies. Such characteristics are located principally in the grooming stage of the risk assessment phase, which can be extended to grooming other people and/or the ecosystem (Craven et al., 2006).

The parental watchfulness in the online world lags behind the physical world, and the parents are less concerned with what their children do online (Fleming et al., 2006). Scarcity of parental control over the internet and through mobile internet access, or when the internet is available in the bedroom of a child, predisposes the children to cyber predators. It has been found that a large proportion of young social media users are chatting with people who are not part of their daily lives, and this is how dangerous unsupervised online communication can be (Livingstone et al., 2011).

Rapport Building

Online grooming presents a problem that young people must differentiate between sexual exploitation and the development of real relationships online because grooming processes may resemble the establishment of real relationships (Bryce, 2010). The offenders must develop an atmosphere of trust with the victim in order to develop rapport and intimacy (McAlinden, 2006). Williams et al. (in press) recognized three themes with sub-themes in grooming techniques through a thematic analysis of chat room transcripts.

Often, as rapport is built during initial interactions, offenders adapt their behaviour and linguistic style to mirror that of the young person, generating a commonality and sense of comfort (Olson et al., 2007). Mutuality is about offenders considering the interests and current situation of the young person and accepting these to develop a bond.

According to the European Online Grooming Project (2012) research, they examine their victims by finding individuals who share similar interests or experiences rather than researching them during or after. Certain perpetrators can go to the extent of attempting to mentor the victim and supporting their distorted thinking by viewing themselves as a helper.

The goal of the offenders is usually to paint themselves as positive in the eyes of the child, and they can display such traits as friendliness or trustworthiness (Ospina et al., 2010). Establishing an exclusive relationship with the young person makes them feel special. It creates distance from hypothetically defensive associations (McAlinden, 2006), aligning with the grooming of the environment phase (Rosen et al., 2008).

TABLE II: GROOMING THEMES AND SUBTHEMES IDENTIFIED BY WILLIAMS, ELLIOTT, AND BEECH

Themes	Sub-ordinate Themes
Rapport Building	Coordination
	Mutuality
	Positivity
Sexual Content	Introduction
	Maintenance / Escalation
Assessment	Of Child
	Of Environment

Unlike the linear grooming stages by O'Connell (2003), Williams et al. (in submission) state that offenders do not sequentially evolve over phases. The European Online Grooming Project (2012) underscores this idea, which describes grooming as a cyclical process whereby offenders come in and out of different phases (adoption, maintenance, relapse, and re-adoption) over time.

Sexual Context

Owing to the offender's characteristics, the degree to which sexualization of contact with a young person occurs can vary both in timing and manner and is a key step in the grooming process. The European Online Grooming Project (2012) found three types of online groomers: intimacy-seeking, adaptable, and hyper-sexualized. Such hyper-sexualized groomers are less likely to insert sexual content into conversations at first, or if they do, it will happen much sooner, even immediately.

The role of sexual content is a central aspect of Williams et al.'s (in submission) model, and its effect on communication usually amplifies over time. Sexualizing comes in many forms, from flirtation and sexually explicit language to sending sexual photographs or links to porn (O'Connell, 2003). This behavior becomes routine/normal and gives the perpetrator extra power over the young person, disclosing information they wish to keep confidential (McAlinden, 2006). Then, the perpetrator can use this information as leverage to manipulate or blackmail the victim.

Sexual conversations repeated over time are key for an offender to reinforce the effectiveness of their grooming. Exposing minors to sexual content is just one of the two communication strategies that sexual predators rely on: communicative desensitization (reducing sensitivity to sexual material over time) and reframing (Craven et al., 2006). The first strategy involves verbal and physical desensitization of the young person, which leads to sexual interaction. Reframing, conversely, changes how the child views sexuality and what is good for them engaging in sexual acts (Olson et al., 2007). These tactics are used to confuse the victim into abandoning their understanding of how sex works and to take advantage of the victim when they are most vulnerable for the benefit of the person who is offending.

Risk Assessment

The offenders' assessment of the risk associated with the grooming of a particular child is a key component of the grooming process, as Williams et al. (in press) described for assessing the child and the environment. Risk assessment is a continuous process rather than one that can be done once in a while. This ongoing evaluation not only helps them fly under the radar but also allows them to measure the balance of trust and weakness in the child (O'Connell, 2003).

According to the European Online Grooming Project (2012), offenders control risk in three ways. They have risk management in place by using multiple devices, different IP addresses, and various storage label techniques, adding a variety of technologies, and changing the logistics involved with that technology. Also, perpetrators do not communicate with victims in public zones or open areas but switch to private channels such as mobile phones or email. The third risk management strategy concerns those offenders who meet victims in person, suggesting locations well removed from their homes.

The European Online Grooming Project (2012) noted in its findings that not all groomers engaged in risk management, particularly if their beliefs indicated that they were not committing a wrongful act and thus had no need to cover up their activities. The authors also placed a great emphasis on the consideration of overcoming internal inhibitions, tearing barriers (Sullivan, 2009), and self-grooming practices in grooming (Craven et al., 2006). These are critical components of risk perception and intentions to exploit such risk, in this case, to groom probable victims.

Deception

Grooming is a misleading activity in which young people are likely to be unable to discern danger cues (Berson, 2003). Online identity is not a definite one but rather relative, as it may be changed and modified over time (Jewkes, 2010), which may make a young person less suspicious and more likely to approach an offender. Deception in online interactions often involves offenders posing as young individuals (Palmer & Stacey, 2004), taking advantage of the malleable nature of online identities.

Deceiving young people online is a well-used tool in the arsenal of offenders (O'Connell, 2003), yet it is recognized that not every online groomer will misrepresent his age and intentions (Wolak, Finkelhor, Mitchell, & Ybarra, 2008). Only 5% of offenders impersonated young people when communicating with their potential victims (Wolak et al., 2004). Most of the offenders listened to this and openly stated they were adults looking for sex, and sex conversations are common in dot-com chats. Many of the victims who come into contact with

offenders online and later meet them in person expect to have a sexual relationship, and some even fall in love with their offenders (Wolak et al., 2008).

It is worth mentioning that in most cases, minors are aware that they are interacting with grown-ups. However, they nevertheless take the risk in their relationships with the offenders, which witnesses the harshness of the grooming process and the weakness that makes them welcome such experiences. These kinds of grooming under a lot of intensity and child vulnerability are likely to lead to high-risk behaviors on the web that are observed among adolescents.

III. YOUNG PEOPLE ONLINE

The adoption of technology into everyday life has become very common, and an average person spends almost 30 hours a week on the internet (National Audit Office, 2010). The use has been growing, and individuals are using different types of communication and accessing the internet in various environments. Youth are living in a highly technological environment, which uses online social media, game websites, and smartphones to a great extent.

Research conducted by Livingstone (2010) and others has indicated that in the wealthy nations, the Internet is usually accessible to children both at home and in school. One of the most important things is the fact that a good proportion of children in the UK and some as young as 5 years have access to the internet at home, with more and more doing this in their bedrooms. Social networking sites such as Twitter, Facebook, and MySpace have experienced a massive popularity, with a large percentage of children and teens having profiles on these sites.

The overall effects of social networking on the youth are also catalyzed by the emergence of internet-capable mobile phones and gaming consoles, which make the online experience more accessible and convenient. With the cost of such devices getting lower, more young people have the freedom to have access to the Internet anywhere and anytime. According to parental reports in Europe, mobile phone ownership among children aged 6 to 17 is high, and a huge percentage of the children have access to mobile phones and internet-enabled gadgets.

The trend of internet use is age-related, and older teens are likely to use the internet through several sources, such as their personal computer, computers at school, or even at a friend's. Even younger children who are below the age of 7 years are also turning to the internet from the comfort of their homes. The convergence of online activities in the daily lives of the youths makes it difficult to distinguish between the online and offline worlds, which has both opportunities and threats. The experts in the profession have seen the necessity of taking online interactions as part and parcel of the social lives of the youth, as they are digital natives who live in a high-technology environment.

Although users do not necessarily distinguish offline and online events, studies indicate that online space has the potential to induce behavior that may not be characteristic of offline environments. This highlights the necessity of knowledge and the control of the possible risks that may be brought about online.

Understanding these differences in platform grooming is essential to developing real-time detection strategies to keep up with platform changes; the style of grooming may differ from gaming apps, which often rely on 'gift grooming'—sharing virtual currency or items in the game to establish rapport, to encrypted messaging apps in which grooming can take place 'intimacy at arm's length' with even less parental oversight, and into sexual contexts much more quickly.

IV. INTERNET BEHAVIOUR

A. *The Online Disinhibition Effect*

The difference between the online and real world behavior is shed some light on by the Online Disinhibition Effect, which is explained by Suler (2004). Suler found six main factors that become the interacting factors to create this effect:

- **Dissociative Anonymity:** This component is a concept that the online person is anonymous, often achieved through user names or nicknames. Being anonymous means never taking responsibility for one's actions. Some of the online groomers interviewed as part of the European Online Grooming Project (2012) accepted that there was a thrill and confidence in dealing online, as they could act anonymously and felt unrecognizable.
- **Invisibility:** Physical invisibility online can embolden individuals to act differently than offline, amplifying the disinhibition effect.

- Asynchronicity: The lack of real-time reactions online, where messages can be sent without immediate feedback, can contribute to disinhibition among users.
- Solipsistic Introjections: This involves merging one's mind with that of the person being communicated online, leading to a sense of feeling merged with the writer of the text and potentially disinhibiting them.
- Dissociative Imagination: Creating online characters in one's imagination can lead to a separation between offline reality and online fantasy.
- Minimization of Authority: Online interactions often start on equal footing, regardless of one's offline authority or power, contributing to the disinhibition effect.

The "Online Disinhibition effect" can occur in 2 opposite directions: (1) benign disinhibition, in which people share personal thoughts and kind acts, and (2) toxic disinhibition, which consists of anger, criticism, or threatening behavior. Such tendencies appear online in the behaviors of young people who divulge personal information, presume the best about their online acquaintances, and, on the opposite end of the spectrum, appear to engage in cyberbullying or at least contribute to the building of information they know is harmful. Now add that the perceived anonymity and invisibility we experience online usually lead us to feel disconnected from our offline sense of self, which can also lead to disinhibition.

Young people often portray different behaviors online and may feel disconnected from their online actions (Berson, 2000). Combining offline and online environments may lead to fewer discrepancies in young people's conscious actions, potentially impacting their online and offline behavior.

B. The Proteus Effect

The initiators of altered behaviors in the presence of the Internet have been found through research done on the concept of Online Disinhibition (Suler, 2004). The motivations are in our changing our behavior as a reaction to our online self-representations (avatars) being edited, an effect that is referred to as the Proteus Effect (Yee and Bailenson, 2007). They studied how physical attractiveness and height worked out using the avatars. To conduct the study, they measured the level of self-disclosure and interpersonal distance when carrying out the interactions. The results showed that individuals having more attractive embodiments were more proximate to the confederate by interpersonal distance and self-disclosure. Moreover, taller avatars and height were also associated with increased confidence in the participants, just as it was also observed that offline, taller people are also perceived to be more competent.

This paper brings to the limelight the effects of online representation on behavior, whereby the attractiveness and the height of the avatars affected the participants' interactions as well as their confidence level. It is also known that adolescents, especially, are prone to taking up other identities online where there are fewer restrictions or forms of supervision (Berson, 2000), which can be attributed to the premise of the Proteus Effect that identities in the online world can also be used to affect behavior.

According to a study conducted by Wolak et al. (2002), it was observed that a significant number of people who use the internet had reported experiencing intimate relationships with people they had never met face to face. This implies that youths are online chatting with strangers, and there is a possibility that the online communication has an impact on human behavior. Noll et al. (2009) examined the relationship between avatars selected by teenagers and their risky behavior online. The research study showed that young people who made provocative decisions concerning their avatars had high chances of engaging in online sexual activities. This is not specific to avatars, and the way young girls present themselves on the Internet, both in collections of photos or descriptions of their stories, could make them more susceptible to online grooming.

This research highlights the importance of online self-presentations and interactions in modeling behaviors, especially when it comes to adolescents who are prone to different threats and influences in the online space, as they assume different personas when engaging with the online community.

C. Risk Taking

Suler (2004) cited the same phenomenon of the Online Disinhibition Effect, and this emphasizes the various forms of behavior in real life versus online interaction; this, in turn, denotes that the youths tend to have a risk-taking behavior online, and this theme is said to be alarming, as noted by CEOP (2010). In a study that examined different types of risky internet behaviors among young people, Ybarra et al. found that the greater the number of kinds of risky behaviors a young person engaged in, the greater the odds of online interpersonal victimization (2007). People who engaged in these four risky behaviors were reported to be 11 times more likely to suffer from online interpersonal victimization.

Although there is a recognition that some online behaviors may be risky, young people tend to continue with them nonetheless (Mishna et al., 2009). Peter, Valkenburg, and Schouten (2006) found that adolescents who

spent more time exchanging communication online were also more likely to speak to unusual individuals for chit-chat, and mentioned entertainment, social integration, retention of rivals, acquaintance, and social compensation with new people as rationales. The findings suggested that boredom, curiosity, and inhibition were prevalent reasons adolescents want to talk to strangers online.

Adolescent girls tend to be victimized by an offender, and a significant number engage in risky behaviors like webcam stripping or masturbating, sending nude photos, and sharing personal information online (Leander et al., 2008). The study highlighted a link between online victimization and behaviors like communicating with unknown individuals, sharing personal information, meeting online friends offline, and discussing sex online. Sex offenders have described specific factors that make a child a target, including mentioning sex online, the child appearing needy or submissive, and the child's screen name sounding young (e.g., Sarah2001 and Jenny13).

TABLE III: FOUR MAIN GROUPS OF YOUNG PEOPLE ONLINE (ATKINSON & NEWTON, 2010)

Use/Risk Matrix	Infrequent Use	Frequent Use
Low Risk Taking	Low-risk novices	All round experts
High-Risk Taking	Inexperienced risk takers	Skilled risk takers

Atkinson and Newton (2010) adapted a concept from Livingstone and Bober to further explore the potential influences on young people's online behaviors and vulnerabilities. These studies emphasize the importance of understanding and addressing the risky behaviors young people engage in online to mitigate the risks of online victimization and exploitation.

V. PREVENTION MEASURES

Wolak et al. According to (2006), the Internet has a dynamic nature. Consequently, it has a positive influence on the teaching of Internet safety, as many high-risk behaviors of adolescents are not stable features of the Internet culture, especially those posited as harmful to the development of the young. To protect children and adolescents online, a wide array of preventive strategies has been established, including statutes against illegal Internet activity, privacy protection laws, school Acceptable Use Policies (AUP), family rules, parental supervision, filtering software for access to websites, and Internet safety education programs targeted at children and teens (Berson, 2003).

Davidson & Martellozzo (2008) stated that classroom awareness campaigns have proven to be a particular target for efforts to make children, teachers, and parents aware of the dangers posed by online grooming. Some agencies worldwide provide tools and strategies to teach young people about online dangers better (Ospina et al., 2010). For example, CEOP's 'Thinkuknow' education program in the UK addressing online grooming has delivered its message to more than 8 million children, with 70,000 professionals signed up to provide program elements (CEOP, 2011). Nevertheless, the impact of internet safety education on targeting the youths who are most likely to engage in risky online behaviors is uncertain (Wells & Mitchell, 2008).

However, the theoretical implementation of these programs needs to be tested in the real world to show how effective they are; at the moment, it is suggested that many awareness campaigns such as 'Thinkuknow' reach millions of young children, but their impact on their recollection of safety messages would fade over time, a phenomenon known as the 'fading effect'. Future prevention strategies need to move beyond general education into evidence-based models that use longitudinal data to identify which interventions are most successful in reducing actual grooming incidents, e.g., psychosocial support or a platform-specific approach to safety protocols.

Instead, Davidson et al. (2009) fade from memory, as Taddeo et al. (2009) found, demonstrating that we cannot just tell young people something once but that they require frequent and ongoing short educational dose reinforcement. Ybarra and Mitchell (2008) have suggested that youth pre-emptive education addresses psychosocial issues that are more effective than targeting specific online applications. They recommended that talking to young victims of online grooming might also provide useful insights that could help improve educational programs.

Though most of the online grooming studies have been focused on the attitude of the offenders, which have informed most education programs, including the experiences of the young victims, may provide a very insightful and valuable insight into the development of more effective and relevant educational programs to safeguard youths in the virtual world.

VI. CONCLUSION

Juveniles are more connected to technology, and this presents criminals with a chance to use the common online networks to develop close relationships with the young people. Though the major components of online grooming have been stipulated, it is time to understand how these elements interact with the practices of the youths on the internet, with reference to their accessibility and their risky behavior tendencies. It is essential to learn about the way offenders are attacking vulnerable youth online so that interventions and protection strategies can be successfully applied.

Among the key questions that should be considered is whether the most vulnerable youth are those who respond to the messages of offenders when offenders use a wide net across the Internet. It is necessary to identify the factors behind the vulnerability of these specific young people to formulate specific interventions and prevention programs. The use of prevention methods is being supported by more professionals in order to protect the youth on the internet, so more studies should be done to help determine the effectiveness of these strategies.

The Internet is a key tool in contemporary society, and this demonstrates the need to conduct continuous research on the topic of online safety to ensure that the youth are well protected in the online world. In order to build an effective prevention strategy and intervention, as it was observed by Bryce (2010), it is important to have a more in-depth perception of the interactions between the victim and the offender. The use of the perspective of the victim in future studies can help to bring a lot of understanding into the process of online grooming, educate professionals who work in this domain, and improve their capabilities to save young people online. With the inclusion of the victim perspective, the researchers will be able to comprehend the online grooming dynamics and play a role in more effective prevention and intervention.

REFERENCES

- [1] Atkinson, C., & Newton, D. (2010). Online behaviours of adolescents: victims, perpetrators and Web 2.0. *Journal of Sexual Aggression*, 16, 107–120. DOI: 10.1080/13552600903337683.
- [2] Bebbington, P. E., Jonas, S., Brugha, T., Meltzer, H., Jenkins, R., Cooper, C., King, M., & McManus, S. (2011). Child sexual abuse reported by an English national sample: characteristics and demography. *Soc Psychiatry* 46, 255–262. DOI: 10.1007/s00127-010-0245-8.
- [3] Beech, A. R., Elliott, I. A., Birgden, A., & Findlater, D. (2008). The Internet and child sexual offending: a criminological review. *Aggression and Violent Behavior*, 13, 216–228. DOI: 10.1016/j.avb.2008.03.007.
- [4] Berliner, L., & Conte, J. R. (1990). The process of victimization: A victim's perspective. *Child Abuse and Neglect*, 14, 29–40. DOI: 10.1177/088626090005001008.
- [5] Berson, I. R. (2003). Grooming cyber victims: The psychosocial effects of online exploitation for youth. *Journal of School Violence*, 2, 5–18. DOI: 10.1300/J202v02n01_02.
- [6] Berson, M. J. (2000). The computer can't see you blush. *Kappa Delta Pi Record*, summer, 36, 158–162.
- [7] Briggs, P., Simon, W. T., & Simonsen, S. (2011). An exploratory study of internet-initiated sexual offenses and the chat room sex offender: Has the internet enabled a new typology of sex offender? *Sexual Abuse: A Journal of Research and Treatment* 23, 72–91. DOI: 10.117/1079063210384275.
- [8] Burgoon, J. K., Walther, Baesler, J. B., & James, E. (1992). Interpretations, evaluations, and consequences of interpersonal touch. *Human Communication Research*, 19, 237–263. DOI: 10.1111/j.1468-2958.1992.tb00301.x
- [9] Bryce, J. (2010). Online sexual exploitation of children and young people. In Y. Jewkes & M. Yar (Eds.), *Handbook of Internet crime* (pp.320–342). Devon, UK: Willan.
- [10] Byron Review: Children and new technology. (2008). Safer children in a digital world: The report of the Byron Review. Retrieved February 25, 2011, <https://www.education.gov.uk/publications/eOrderingDownload/DCSF-00334-2008.pdf>
- [11] Carr, J. (2004). Child abuse, child pornography, and the internet. *The National Children's Homes*. Retrieved on May 5, 2011, via: http://make-it-safe.net/esp/pdf/child_pornography_internet_Carr2004.pdf
- [12] Child Exploitation and Online Protection Centre. (2010). Strategic Overview 2009 – 2010. Retrieved February 22, 2011 via: [http://ceop.police.uk/Documents/Strategic_Overview_2009-10_\(Unclassified\).pdf](http://ceop.police.uk/Documents/Strategic_Overview_2009-10_(Unclassified).pdf)
- [13] Child Exploitation and Online Protection Centre (2011). Annual Review 2010-2011 and Centre Plan 2011-2012. Retrieved August 10, 2011 http://ceop.police.uk/Documents/ceopdocs/Annual%20Rev2011_FINAL.pdf
- [14] Craven, S., Brown, S., & Gilchrist, E. (2006). Sexual grooming of children: Review of literature and theoretical considerations. *Journal of Sexual Aggression*, 12, 287–299. DOI: 10.1080/13552600601069414.
- [15] Craven, S., Brown, S., & Gilchrist, E. (2007). Current responses to sexual grooming: implications for prevention. *The Howard Journal*, 46, 60–71. DOI: 10.1111/j.1468-2311.2007.00454.x.

- [16] Davidson, J., & Martellozzo, E. (2008). Protecting children online: "Towards a safer internet". In G. Letherby, K. Williams, P. Birch, & M. Cain (Eds.), *Sex as Crime* (pp. 1- 25). Cullompton, UK: Wilan.
- [17] Davidson, J., Martellozzo, E., & Lorenz, M. (2009). Evaluation of the CEOP Thinkuknow internet safety programme and exploration of young people's internet safety knowledge. Centre for Abuse & Trauma Studies. Kingston, UK.
- [18] Dombrowski, S. C., LeMasney, J. W., Ahia, C. E., & Dickson, S. A. (2004). Protecting children from online sexual predators: technological, psychoeducational, and legal considerations. *Professional Psychology: Research and Practice*, 35, 65–73. DOI: 10.1037/0735-7028.35.1.65.
- [19] Elliott, I. A., & Beech, A. R. (2009). Understanding online child pornography use: Applying sexual offense theory to internet offenders. *Aggression and Violent Behaviour*, 14, 180 – 193. DOI: 10.1016/j.avb.2009.03.002.
- [20] Elliott, I. A., Beech, A. R., Mandeville-Norden, R., & Hayes, E. (2009). Psychological profiles of internet sexual offenders. *Sexual Abuse: A Journal of Research and Treatment*, 21, 76 – 92. DOI: 10.1177/1079063208326929.
- [21] Elliott, M., Browne, K., & Kilcoyne, J. (1995). Child sexual abuse prevention: What offenders tell us. *Child Abuse and Neglect*, 19, 579–594. DOI: 10.1016/0145-2134%2895%2900017-3.
- [22] European Commission. (2008). Towards a safer use of the internet for children in the EU – a parents' perspective: Analytical Report. Flash Eurobarometer 248 - The Gallup Organisation.
- [23] European Online Grooming Project: Webster, S., Davidson, J., Bifulco, A., Gottschalk, P., Caretti, V., Pham, T., & Grove-Hills, J. (2012). European Online Grooming Project Final Report, European Union. Retrieved on April 21, 2012, via: <http://www.european-online-grooming-project.com/>
- [24] Finkelhor, D. (1984). *Child sexual abuse: new theory and research*. New York, USA: The Free Press.
- [25] Finkelhor, D. (1997). The victimisation of children and youth: Developmental victimology. In R. C., Davis, A. J., Lurigio, & W. G. Skogan (Eds.), *Victims of crime: Second edition* (pp 86 – 107). California, USA: Sage Publications.
- [26] Finkelhor, D., Mitchell, K. J., & Wolak, J. (2000). Online victimisation: a report on the nation's youth. Retrieved on May 5, 2011, via: http://www.missingkids.com/en_US/publications/NC62.pdf.
- [27] Fleming, M. J., Greentree, S., Cocotti-Muller, D., Elias, K. A., & Morrison, S. (2006). Safety in cyberspace, adolescents' safety, and exposure online. *Youth & Society*, 38, 135-154. DOI: 10.1177/0044118X06287858.
- [28] Gallagher, B., Fraser, C., Christmann, K., & Hodgson, B. (2006). International and internet child sexual abuse and exploitation: research report. Huddersfield, UK: Centre for Applied Childhood Studies; University of Huddersfield. Retrieved on May 4, 2012, via: <http://eprints.hud.ac.uk/461/>
- [29] Gillespie, A. A. (2004). Grooming definitions and the law. *The New Law Journal* 154, 586–587.
- [30] Hall, G. C. N. & Hirschman, R. (1992). Sexual aggression against Children: A conceptual perspective of etiology. *Criminal Justice and Behavior*, 19, 8-23. DOI: 10.1177/0093854892019001003.
- [31] Harkins, L., & Dixon, L. (2010). Sexual offending in groups: An evaluation. *Aggression and Violent Behaviour*, 15, 87–99. DOI: 10.1016/j.avb.2009.08.006.
- [32] Jewkes, Y. (2010). Much ado about nothing? Representations and realities of the online soliciting of children. *Journal of sexual aggression*, 16, 5-18. DOI: 10.1080/13552600903389452.
- [33] Langlois, J. H., Kalakanis, L., Rubenstein, A. J., Larson, A., Hallam, M., & Smoot, M. (2000). Maxims or myths of beauty? A meta-analytic and theoretical review. *Psychological Bulletin*, 126, 390-423. DOI: 10.1037/0033-2909.126.3.390.
- [34] Leander, L., Christianson, S. A., & Granhag, P. A. (2008). Internet-initiated sexual abuse: Adolescent victims' reports about on- and offline sexual activities. *Applied Cognitive Psychology*, 22, 1260–1274. DOI: 10.1002/acp.1433.
- [35] Livingstone, S. (2010, June). E-Youth: (future) policy implications: reflections on online risk, harm, and vulnerability. Keynote Address at the e-Youth: balancing between opportunities and risks conference, Antwerp, Belgium. Retrieved May 5, 2011, via: <http://eprints.lse.ac.uk/27849>
- [36] Livingstone, S., & Bober, M. (2005). *UK Children Go Online*. Swindon: Economic and Social Research Council (ESRC).
- [37] Livingstone, S., Olafsson, K., & Staksrud, E. (2011). EU Kids Online: Social networking, age, and privacy. Retrieved on May 11, 2011, via: www.eukidsonline.net.
- [38] Malesky, Jr., L. A. (2007). Predatory online behavior: Modus operandi of convicted sex offenders in identifying potential victims and contacting minors over the internet. *Journal of Child Sexual Abuse*, 16, 23–31. DOI: 10.1300/J070v16n02_02
- [39] Marshall, W. L. & Barbaree, H. E. (1990). An integrated theory of the etiology of sexual offending. In W. L. Marshall, D. R. Laws & H. E. Barbaree (Eds.), *Handbook of Sexual Assault: Issues, Theories, and Treatment of the Offender*. New York: Plenum Press.
- [40] McAlinden, A. (2006). 'Setting 'em up': Personal, familial and institutional grooming in the sexual abuse of children. *Social and Legal Studies*, 15, 339–362. DOI: 10.1177/0964663906066613.
- [41] McCarthy, J. A. (2010). Internet sexual activity: a comparison between contact and non-contact child pornography offenders. *Journal of Sexual Aggression*, 16, 181 – 195. DOI: 10.1080/13552601003760006.
- [42] Mishna, F., McLuckie, A., & Saini, M. (2009). Real-world dangers in an online reality: A qualitative study examining online relationships and cyber abuse. National Association of Social Workers. Retrieved on May 4, 2012, http://icbtt.arizona.edu/sites/default/files/Mishna_McLuckie_Saini_Social_Work_Research_KHP_Cyber_Abuse_0.pdf

- [43] Mitchell, K. J., Finkelhor, D., & Wolak, J. (2005). The internet, family, and acquaintanceship sexual abuse. *Child Maltreatment*, 10, 49–60. DOI: 10.1177/1077559504271917.
- [44] Mitchell, K. J., Finkelhor, D., & Wolak, J. (2007). Youth internet users at risk of the most serious online sexual solicitations. *American Journal of Preventive Medicine*, 32, 532–536. DOI: 10.1016/j.amepre.2007.02.001.
- [45] Mitchell, K. J., Jones, L. M., Finkelhor, D., & Wolak, J. (2011). Internet-facilitated commercial sexual exploitation of children: Findings from a nationally representative sample of law enforcement agencies in the United States. *Sexual Abuse: A Journal of Research and Treatment* 23, 43–71. DOI: 10.1177/1079063210374347.
- [46] National Audit Office Memorandum. (2010). Staying Safe Online. Retrieved February 22, 2011 via: http://www.nao.org.uk/publications/0910/staying_safe_online.aspx
- [47] National Society for the Prevention of Cruelty to Children. (2011). Child Cruelty in the UK 2011: An NSPCC study into child abuse and neglect over the past 30 years. Retrieved on May 24, 2011 via: http://www.nspcc.org.uk/news-and-views/our-news/nspcc-news/11-02-15-report-launch/overview-report_wdf80875.pdf
- [48] Noll, J. G., Shenk, C. E., Barnes, J. E., & Putnam, F. W. (2009). Childhood abuse, avatar choices, and other risk factors associated with internet-initiated victimization of adolescent girls. *Pediatrics*, 123, 1078–1083. DOI: 10.1542/peds.2008-2983.
- [49] O'Connell, R. (2003). A typology of cyber sexploitation and online grooming practices. Preston, England: University of Central Lancashire. Retrieved May 24th 2011 via: <http://www.uclan.ac.uk/host/cru/docs/cru010.pdf>
- [50] Ofcom (2010). UK children's media Literacy. Retrieved February 16, 2011, via: <http://stakeholders.ofcom.org.uk/binaries/research/media-literacy/ukchildrensml1.pdf>
- [51] Olson, L. N., Daggs, J. L., Ellevold, B. L., & Rogers, T. K. K. (2007). Entrapping the innocent: Toward a theory of child sexual predators' luring communication. *Communication Theory*, 17, 231-251. DOI: 10.1111/j.1468-2885.2007.00294.x
- [52] Ospina, M., Harstall, C., & Denet, L. (2010). Sexual exploitation of children and youth over the internet: a rapid review of the scientific literature. Institute of Health Economics: Alberta, Canada. Retrieved May 2, 2012 via: <http://www.ihe.ca/documents/Online%20Sexual%20Exploitation.pdf>
- [53] Palmer, T., & Stacey, L. (2004). Just one click: sexual abuse of children and young people through the internet and mobile telephone technology. Ilford, UK: Barnardos.
- [54] Palmer, T., Von Weiler, J., & Loof, L. (2010). The impact of internet abuse on children and how best to intervene. The Link, The Official Newsletter of the International Society for Prevention of Child Abuse and Neglect, 19.
- [55] Peter, J., Valkenburg, P. M., & Schouten, A. P. (2006). Characteristics and motives of adolescents talking with strangers on the Internet. *CyberPsychology and Behavior*, 9, 526-530. DOI: 10.1089/cpb.2006.9.526.
- [56] Quayle, E., & Taylor, M. (2002). Paedophiles, pornography and the internet: assessment issues. *British Journal of Social Work* 32, 863-875. DOI: 10.1093/bjsw/32.7.863.
- [57] Rosen, L. D., Cheever, N. A., & Carrier, L. M. (2008). The association of parenting style and child age with parental limit setting and adolescent MySpace behaviour. *Journal of Applied Developmental Psychology*, 29, 459-471. DOI: 10.1016/j.appdev.2008.07.005.
- [58] Spielhofer, T. (2010). Children's online risks and safety: A review of the available evidence. Prepared for the UK Council for Child Internet Safety: The National Foundation for Education Research.
- [59] Suler, J. (2004). The online disinhibition effect. *Cyberpsychology and Behavior*, 7, 321–326. DOI: 10.1089/1094931041291295.
- [60] Sullivan J. (2009). Professionals who sexually abuse the children with whom they work. Unpublished Ph.D. Thesis, School of Psychology, University of Birmingham.
- [61] Sullivan, J., & Beech, A. (2002). Professional perpetrators: Sex offenders who use their employment to target and sexually abuse the children with whom they work. *Child Abuse Review*, 11, 153-167. DOI: 10.1002/car.737.
- [62] Sullivan, J., and Quayle, E. (2012). Manipulation Styles of Abusers who Work with Children in M. Erooga (Ed) *Creating Safer Organisations: Practical Steps to Prevent the Abuse of Children by Those Working with Them*, Wiley & Sons, Ltd, London.
- [63] Snyder, M., Tanke, E. D., & Berscheid, E. (1977). Social perception and interpersonal behavior: On the self-fulfilling nature of social stereotypes. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 35, 656-666. DOI: 10.1037/0022-3514.35.9.656.
- [64] Ward, T., & Siegert, R. J. (2002). Toward a comprehensive theory of child sexual abuse: A theory knitting perspective. *Psychology, Crime & Law*, 8, 319-351. DOI: 10.1080/10683160208401823
- [65] Webb, L., Craissati, J., & Keen, S. (2007). Characteristics of internet child pornography offenders: a comparison with child molesters. *Sex Abuse*, 19, 449 – 465. DOI: 10.1007/s11194-007-9063-2.
- [66] Wells, M., & Mitchell, K. J. (2008). How do high-risk youth use the Internet? Characteristics and implications for prevention. *Child Maltreatment* 13, 227–234. DOI: 10.1177/1077559507312962.
- [67] Whittle, H. C., Hamilton-Giachritsis, C., Beech, A., & Collings, G. (2013). A Review of young people's vulnerabilities to online grooming, Aggression and Violent Behavior, 18, 62-70. DOI: 10.1016/j.avb.2012.09.003
- [68] Williams, R., Elliott, I. A., & Beech, A. R. (in submission). Identifying sexual grooming themes used by internet sex offenders. *Journal of Applied Social Science*.

- [69] Wolak, J., Finkelhor, D., & Mitchell, K. (2004). Internet-initiated sex crimes against minors: Implications for prevention based on findings from a national study. *Journal of Adolescent Health*, 35, e11-e20. DOI: 10.1016/j.jadohealth.2004.05.006.
- [70] Wolak, J., Finkelhor, D., Mitchell, K. J., & Ybarra, M. L. (2008). Online "predators" and their victims: myths, realities, and implications for prevention and treatment. *American Psychologist*, 63, 111-1128. DOI: 10.1037/0003-066X.63.2.111.
- [71] Wolak, J., Mitchell, K. J., & Finkelhor, D. (2002). Close online relationships in a national sample of adolescents. *Adolescence*, 37, 441-455.
- [72] Wolak, J., Mitchell, K. J., & Finkelhor, D. (2006). Online victimization of youth: Five years later. Retrieved on May 4, 2012, via: http://www.missingkids.com/en_US/publications/NC167.pdf
- [73] Ybarra, M. L., & Mitchell, K. J. (2008). How risky are social networking sites? A comparison of places online where youth sexual solicitation and harassment occur. *Pediatrics*, 121, 350-357. DOI: 10.1542/peds.2007-0693.
- [74] Ybarra, M. L., Mitchell, K., Finkelhor, D., & Wolak, J. (2007). Internet prevention messages: Are we targeting the right online behaviors? *Archives of Pediatric and Adolescent Medicine*, 161, 138-145. DOI: 10.1001/archpedi.161.2.138.
- [75] Yee, N., & Bailenson, J. (2007). The proteus effect: The effect of transformed self-representation on behavior. *Human Communication Research* 33, 271-290. DOI: 10.1111/j.1468-2958.2007.00299.x
- [76] Young, T. J., & French, L. A. (1996). Height and perceived competence of U.S. presidents. *Perceptual and Motor Skills*, 82, (3, Pt1), 1002.
- [77] Young, A., Young, A., & Fullwood, H. (2007). Adolescent online victimization. *The Prevention Researcher*, 14, 8-9.