Grenze International Journal of Engineering and Technology, June Issue

Quantum Entanglement in Financial Markets: A Theoretical Perspective on Risk Analysis

Monika Gorkhe[1], Priyadarshani Zanjurne[2], Nitesh Behare[3], Saurabh A. Shah[4] and Vatsala Manjunath Keerthi[5]
[1]School of BFSI, Symbiosis Skills and Professional University, Pune, India
Email: monika.gorkhe@gmail.com
[2]P.E.S. Modern Institute of Business Management, Pune, India
Email: zpriya16@gmail.com
[3]Balaji Institute of International Business, Sri Balaji University, Pune, India
Email: beharenb@gmail.com
[4]Prof Ram Meghe College of Engineering & Management, Badnera, Amravati, India
Email: shahsaurabh.15@gmail.com
[5]Global Business School and Research Centre, Dr. D. Y Patil Vidyapeeth Pune
Email: vatsala.manjunath@dpu.edu.in

***Abstract*—This paper explores the theoretical application of quantum entanglement to risk analysis in financial markets, offering a novel perspective on interconnected financial systems. It discusses the limitations of classical risk models in addressing systemic risk and complex asset correlations and introduces quantum-inspired approaches that leverage entanglement to describe high-dimensional dependencies. Conceptual frameworks such as entangled financial networks, quantum probabilistic methods, and quantum-inspired risk metrics are presented, alongside their potential applications in portfolio diversification and systemic risk assessment. While promising, challenges such as computational complexity, feasibility, and real-world applicability remain significant. The paper concludes with directions for future research, emphasizing empirical validation and interdisciplinary collaboration to advance quantum-inspired methodologies in finance.**

***Index Terms*— Quantum Mechanics, Quantum Entanglement, Risk Propagation, QVaR, QRV, Cross-Market Correlations.**

I. INTRODUCTION

Financial markets are intricate ecosystems composed of interconnected instruments, participants, and sectors. Understanding and managing risks, particularly systemic risks, is essential for stability and informed decision-making [34]. For decades, anticipatory functions with the help of market revision mechanism have been based on the foundations of traditional risk analysis with statistical correlations and probabilistic models. But today, these approaches seems to be poorly suited to address non-linear interactions, high-dimensional space and specially time-varying ones which persist in times of high volatility or any extreme events and crises in the market [32]. In times when the core of global financial instruments gets out of hand, adequate approaches will be required to encompass it. Quantum mechanics, with its revolutionary principles, seem to provide new perspective to analyze such issues.

Grenze ID: 01.GIJET.11.2.277_20

Quantum entanglement is the mysterious connection between two or more particles which allows a change in one particle to affect the other instantaneously and which allows the understanding of interrelated systems from a different angle [39], [20].

This notion has begun in the domain of physics, and it has metaphorical and computational application in the modelling of the links and dependencies across the financial markets [31] which are often characterized by latent relationships among varied assets, prejudiced by shared external factors or cascading shocks. Such of risks reflects the interaction of the quantum, where apparently distinct entities are vigorously connected [29]. For instance, a disruption in one sector, like NBFC, Insurance, Banking, etc. can proliferate through commodities, stock markets and currencies due to convoluted relationships such as shared investments or coordinated policies. Distinguishing these interconnections in financial instruments are critical for anticipatory risk mitigation. Quantum-inspired frameworks offer challenging avenues for signifying and analysing these unknown dependencies, going beyond conventional methods that depend on linear assumptions [13].

The objectives of this paper are:

- To elucidate the similarities between quantum entanglement and the interconnectivity observed in financial markets.
- To propose theoretical models that leverage entanglement concepts for risk analysis.
- To highlight the potential advantages and limitations of entanglement-based approaches over traditional methodologies.

As financial systems grow gradually consistent, exploring the concepts of quantum entanglement offers an advanced approach in addressing the boundaries of existing models. By doing so, this paper aims to contribute to the evolution of financial risk management frameworks.

II. Foundations of Quantum Entanglement

Quantum entanglement connects the dots across different entities, defying traditional causality and locality. Entanglement, entrenched in the principles like non-locality and superposition, has revolutionised the technologies like quantum computing and quantum cryptography [2]. In conventional systems, correlations ascend through shared variables or causal influences within precise environments [41]. For instance, due to economic relationships, a stock's price of underlying commodity always correlates. However, such correlations, remain controlled by indigenous pragmatism and observe conventional probabilistic laws [36]. In opposite to this, quantum correlations challenge these constraints which are administered by principles of wave functions and probability amplitudes as they reveal deeper interdependencies and interfering patterns beyond conventional paradigms [15]. Financial markets exhibit striking parallels to quantum entanglement. Instruments such as stocks, bonds, and currencies can become unexpectedly interconnected, as global economic shocks or investor behaviour propagate risks across sectors [38]. The systemic impact of events, like a central bank policy shift persuading various markets, reflects foreign quantum effects. By applying principles of quantum entanglement (such as metaphor and tool), hidden interdependencies in financial systems can be uncovered [29]. This viewpoint enriches conventional approaches, proposing innovative models for financial risk analysis, apprehending complex market dynamics and recognizing susceptibilities that classical methods often overlook.

III. Financial Market Interconnectivity and Risk Propagation

Modern financial markets are highly (digitally) interconnected systems where every interactionl between the instruments, individuals and markets craft intricate dependencies [19]. These interconnections occur from crucial factors like investment strategies, global trade, market sentiment, and shared economic policies, establishing multifarious networks which intensify the potential for systemic risks. Timely understanding and analysing these dependencies between multiple factors is crucial for risk management, specifically as markets become progressively more globalized and complex [31].

A. Theoretical Modeling of Financial Market Networks

Financial systems can be represented as networks, where financial institutions and/or financial instruments (known as 'nodes') are connected by edges that indicate relationships like correlations or transactions [5]. These complex networks are characteristically dynamic, changing with market conditions and dynamic external shocks. The application of multi-layer networks, graph theory and complex metrics (e.g. centrality and clustering coefficients) helps in analysing the structure of these networks and their vulnerability to risk propagation [3]. Multidimensional frameworks, integrating layers such as stock correlations, derivatives linkages and credit exposures, equally capture direct and indirect relationships [7]. Quantum-inspired models enhance financial and risk that are analysed by shamming non-linear interdependencies, corresponding to quantum superposition which also offer deeper insights into overlapping market influences.

B. Systemic Risk and Its Propagation

Systemic risk is termed as "localized financial disturbances" accelerating into extensive financial crises. This propagation arises through continuous feedback loops and contagion effects, like Self-reinforcing liquidity cycles or market interdependence effects (Cross-Market Spill-overs) [7]. Conventional models, that focus on stagnant correlations and stress tests, always underestimate the effect of dynamic and promising behaviours essential in financial networks [33].

C. Limitations of Conventional Methods

Conventional approaches face constraints, comprising dependence on static expectations like linear market assumptions, an inability to capture complex non-linear interdependencies, and substantial computational challenges with high-dimensional systems/datasets [16]. Furthermore, it often underestimates tail risks throughout extreme market events.

By leveraging quantum-inspired methodologies researchers can better understand risk propagation in multifaceted financial systems. This modern change could redefine systemic risk modelling, empowering proactive and robust risk management strategies [21].

IV. Quantum Perspectives on Risk Analysis

The assimilation of quantum mechanics into financial risk analysis marks a paradigm shift in resolving intricacies of interconnected markets [40]. Classical financial models, dependent on linear correlations and conventional probability measures, always struggle to capture dynamic but multi-dimensional relationships of modern financial systems. On the contrary, quantum mechanics, predominantly the 'concept of quantum entanglement', offers a classy framework for modelling these complexities.

A. Quantum Entanglement in Financial Asset Correlations

The phenomenon of quantum entanglement describes two or more particles become deeply interconnected that the state of one particle instantly determines the state of the other, regardless of distance separating it. Identically, financial assets showcase the interdependencies where changes in one entity(node) can prompt immediate effects on another node [27].

For example, a crisis in the currency may stimulate the prices of global commodity and equities because of shared economic factors. Conventional models depend on linear correlation coefficients, always missing hidden and non-linear dependencies between the assets. This approach is broadened by quantum entanglement by permitting for the representation of multi-dimensional correlations. In this complex framework, the "state" of any financial asset (like its volatility), is essentially tied to others and creating a holistic as well as systemic view of interdependencies [24].

B. Quantum States for Representing Risk Dependencies

In quantum mechanics, a wave function signifies all the possible states and probabilities within a system. The same can be adapted to financial markets, where a portfolio's collective state can be modelled using probability amplitudes [10].

- High-Dimensional Representation: Captures numerous, overlapping influences on asset relationships.
- Dynamic Dependencies: It reflects market conditions that are time-evolving, accommodating shifts in risk [9].
- Interference Effects: Models intensifying or extenuating systemic responses to the shocks of the financial market.

C. Potential Quantum Analogies

- Superposition of Market Conditions: Models overlapping forces, such as economic trends or geopolitical influences, to better forecast scenarios [44].
- Entangled Risk Portfolios: Represents intricate relationships between assets, allowing for systemic risk evaluation.

By applying concepts of quantum mechanics, financial analysts can advance a transformative toolset for modelling interdependencies, anticipating cascading effects as well as forecasting the financial risks, which is encompassing far beyond conventional methodologies [10].

V. Conceptual Models for Quantum Risk Analysis

The assimilation of principles of quantum mechanics into financial risk analysis entails the development of focused conceptual models which can leverage irreplaceable quantum properties to address limitations in conventional approaches. This section outlines three key frameworks— i) entangled financial networks, ii) quantum-inspired risk metrics and iii) quantum probabilistic approach —that altogether redefine the modelling and evaluation of risks in digitally interconnected complex financial systems.

A. Entangled Financial Networks

Mapping entangled states to market participants and their interactions offers a unique framework to understand the interconnectedness of financial systems. In conventional models, relationships between financial entities are often portrayed as 'pairwise correlations'. These models fail to capture the complication of dynamic relationships and multi-dimensional, predominantly during the time of m severe market turbulence [45]. In quantum entangled financial network, all the participants are considered as nodes in a quantum-inspired graph, where edges reflect entangled relationships [12]. These entangled relationships can condense the following:

- Shared Risk Exposure: Market participants (like NBFCs/Banks/hedge funds) may have intersecting experiences to volatile instruments or sectors, creating concealed dependencies [42], [43].
- Transaction Interdependence: The credit, trading and investment relationships between various institutions engender indirect influences that may intensify systemic risk [23].
- Behavioural Correlation: Market behaviours, such as herd mentality or panic selling, can create emergent entangled states among participants, where decisions in one domain propagate to others [45].

Mathematically, these relationships can be represented by quantum entangled states in a Hilbert space. Each node (participant) is described by a state vector, and the edges (connections) are encoded as entanglement operations [22]. Changes in one node's state— because of market shocks, any change in market policy or economic developments—instantaneously affect the states of each connected nodes. Such model empowers simulation and visualization of spilling risks, offering understandings into potential failure points and intervention strategies.

B. Quantum Probabilistic Approach

Conventional financial models depend on classical probability theory, that adopts a fixed probability distribution over events. These models always struggle to account for non-linear dynamics and sudden shifts in the regime which distinguish various nods of the financial markets [37]. Based on the calculations of complex amplitudes, quantum probability offers a profoundly different framework to evaluate risk [42], [43]. In this approach, a state of financial system is characterized as a probability amplitude instead of deterministic outcome. Key features of this approach include:

- Probability Interference: Just as quantum wave-functions interfere constructively or destructively, financial risks may amplify (synergize) or diminish based on market interactions. For instance, diversification of the risk can be modelled as destructive interference of correlated risks [20].
- Superposed Risk Scenarios: In a single mathematical framework, quantum states allow exemplification of multiple overlapping risk situations by capturing their interdependencies dynamically.
- Measurement and Collapse: 'Observation', in quantum mechanics causes collapse of a superposed state into a definite outcome. In financial markets, related situations arise when new information like earnings reports, interest rate changes, etc. initiates the market reassessment by influencing the prices and behaviours promptly [9].

Encoded by applying density matrices, quantum probability distributions, offer more affluent information than classical distributions by permitting analysts to model interactions of higher-order and complex correlations. These distributions also acclimatize time-varying conditions more effectively and making them compatible for fast-moving market environments [10].

C. Quantum-Inspired Risk Metrics

The development of unique risk measures encouraged by quantum mechanics is essential for operationalizing and advancing quantum risk analysis.

Traditional metrics like Conditional VaR (CVaR) and Value at Risk (VaR) offer limited insights offer limited understanding of non-linear, high-dimensional risks witnessed in highly interconnected financial systems [17], [18].

By leveraging the principles of quantum mechanics, including entanglement, uncertainty and superposition that encourage innovative approaches to define and quantify risk:

- Quantum Value at Risk (QVaR): QVaR extends the classical VaR matrix by integrating quantum probability distributions. It simulates the multi-dimensional risk scenarios using quantum superposition, offering more widespread assessment of tail risks in portfolios which also empowers a nuanced interpretation of extreme losses [6].
- Entanglement-Based Risk Indices: As a measure of systemic interconnectivity, Entanglement-Based Risk Indices leverage quantum entanglement in financial markets. Advanced entanglement corresponds to greater systemic vulnerabilities, facilitating regulators in identifying and prioritizing oversight in the areas of heightened risk [19].
- Quantum Risk Volatility (QRV): QRV acclimates amplitudes of the quantum wave-function to assess the risk volatility across portfolios by considering both direct exposures and indirect, entangled effects thereby apprehending the complex dynamics of entangled risks [4].
- Market Coherence Measures: Encouraged by quantum coherence, these metrics assess synchronization of various market participants in their risk-taking or investment strategies. High coherence may express vulnerability to systemic shocks, highlighting such areas where careful monitoring is very much needed [35].

These quantum-inspired metrics can uncover unknown patterns of risk which remain unrevealed to classical methods. This will help the decision-makers with actionable insights and empowering with more informed strategies for risk mitigation and asset allocation [24].

D. Integration of Conceptual Models

The quantum probabilistic approaches, entangled financial networks and quantum-insp ired risk metrics are not different frameworks but these are components of an integrated model [19]. For example:

- The concept of an entangled financial network helps to identify most interconnected participants in the financial system [14].
- Leveraging quantum probabilistic approach can help to evaluate how the propagation of shocks within this network, revealing dynamic interdependencies and wave effects across all the participants in the financial system [31].
- Quantum-inspired metrics quantify the risk exposure more accurately and guide decision-making to mitigate systemic vulnerabilities safeguarding flexibility in interconnected global financial landscape [28].

This integration of quantum concepts lays down a strong foundation for quantum risk analysis. By advancing our ability to model, predict and manage financial risks, this integration furnishes the practitioners as well as researchers with transformative tools to better understand and protect against systemic disruptions in modern financial markets [11]. This approach redefines the risk management of complex financial markets and safeguarded against systemic disruptions.

VI. POTENTIAL APPLICATIONS AND IMPLICATIONS

Quantum risk analysis offers revolutionary solutions to stubborn challenges in financial analysis and risk management. By integrating quantum principles like quantum probability and entanglement-based modelling, this approach enriches the accuracy and adaptability of risk management strategies across different financial domains, empowering broad understanding of multifaceted, interconnected financial systems [25].

A. Entanglement-Based Modeling for Portfolio Diversification

Portfolio diversification, a foundation of financial theory, aims to maximise returns while minimizing risk. However, conventional models, often rely on static correlations that have limitation to capture the non-linear and dynamic interdependencies that define present financial markets [17], [18]. Entanglement-based modelling offers a transformative approach to diversification by addressing these limitations:

- Revealing Latent Dependencies: Quantum entanglement reveals hidden interdependencies between asset, expediting improved portfolio strategies, exclusively in complex instruments such as derivatives [14].
- Dynamic Risk Adaptation: Unlike static models, quantum models adapt to changing market conditions, allowing real-time diversification during any financial shocks. For example, entangled based models dynamically accounts for asset pairs showing intensified co-movements during real-time diversification [14].
- Volatility Neutralization: By leveraging quantum superposition, these approaches revels the combinations of assets that equipoise risks across numerous scenarios, encouraging robust and adaptive portfolio strategies [34].

B. Enhanced Systemic Risk Assessment

Systemic risks, amplified by interconnectivity of the global financial markets, pose significant challenges to the conventional classical models, as emphasized during the financial crises of the year 2008. Quantum risk analysis provides modern tools for systemic risk evaluation:

- Entangled Network Analysis: Interconnected risks can be mapped to identify critical vulnerabilities—such as institutions or sectors—essential for preemptive interventions [1].
- Non-Linear Dependencies: Quantum models encapsulate evolving cross-market correlations, offering nuanced insights into systemic vulnerabilities.
- Regulatory Tools: Quantum-inspired indices provide advanced metrics for stress testing, aiding in the design of macro-prudential policies and crisis mitigation measures [25].

C. Real-Time Risk Prediction in Volatile Markets

Volatile markets necessitate models capable of integrating high-frequency data and rapidly adapting to sudden changes:

- Data Processing: Quantum algorithms excel at analysing large, dynamic datasets, facilitating real-time risk identification [8].
- Scenario Prediction: Quantum superposition captures overlapping risk scenarios, enabling proactive rebalancing and hedging strategies.
- High-Frequency Trading Integration: Quantum models enhance predictive accuracy, optimizing strategies in microsecond-level trading environments.

D. Broader Implications

Adopting quantum methods in finance has wider implications:

- Technology Innovation: Quantum risk analysis may drive hybrid computing systems, merging quantum and classical approaches.
- Ethical Challenges: Addressing issues of transparency, access, and interpretability is crucial for equitable implementation [16].
- Educational Shifts: Developing expertise in quantum mechanics among financial professionals fosters interdisciplinary innovation [45].

Quantum-inspired methods hold transformative potential in navigating the complexities of modern finance, offering robust tools to enhance resilience, mitigate risks, and ensure systemic stability

VII. Challenges and Critiques of Quantum Risk Analysis in Financial Markets

While the potential of quantum concepts in financial risk analysis is immense, the field is not without its challenges and critiques. Applying quantum mechanics to abstract constructs like financial markets presents theoretical, practical, and philosophical hurdles that need to be addressed for broader adoption.

A. Feasibility of Applying Quantum Concepts to Abstract Financial Constructs

Quantum mechanics was designed to gain new insights in the physical system's behaviour at microscopic scales which relies on the principles like superposition, wave-particle duality and entanglement. Transforming these concepts into financial constructs is a substantial challenge.

- Nature of Financial Systems vs. Physical Systems: Financial markets are often driven by any of the factors like human decisions, psychological behaviours and economic policies, which introduce elements of unpredictability and irrationality absent in physical systems. Unlike physical systems, financial markets can rely on such models (like mathematical models) can approximate these phenomena, the likeness between financial markets and quantum systems often involves simplifications that may reduce accuracy of prediction [5].
- Abstracting Quantum Mechanics: Quantum concepts (like superposition or entanglement might lack innate training when applied to the financial markets. For example, mapping "entangled assets" in financial portfolio may struggle to capture the complex causal relationships prejudiced by the external factors such as geopolitical tensions, market sentiments, regulatory changes, etc. these external factors can lead to add additional layers of complication which may not inherently addressed by quantum analogies [5].
- Consistency Across Scales: While physical systems exhibit coherence and phase transitions, financial markets often display abrupt, unpredictable changes prejudiced by irrational behaviour or peripheral shocks. Acclimating quantum frameworks to justify these inconsistencies entails careful theoretical refinement [19].

To fill this gap, researchers must safeguard the integration of quantum principles that aligns with financial theory without introducing indefensible assumptions that could challenge the credibility.

B. Addressing the Mathematical and Computational Complexity of Quantum Models

Quantum risk analysis requires modern computational infrastructure and innovative mathematical techniques, creating barriers to implementation:

- High-Dimensional Complexity: Financial markets are often characterised by immense number of participants, transactions, and interdependent variables that are resulting in vast datasets. Using quantum-inspired modelling, interactions (like wave-functions or quantum probabilistic methods) is computationally demanding. These techniques require the application of Hilbert spaces and tensor products to define complex interdependencies, which are challenging to scale in financial market [6].
- Resource Constraints in Quantum Computing: Practical quantum computing is still in its initial stages, with availability of restricted qubits, operational costs and error rates. Present classical simulators for quantum systems are inadequate to effectively resolve high-dimensional financial market data. Even as quantum hardware continues to advance, assimilating resources of quantum system into real world financial processes incur obstructions of logistics and substantial financial investments [20].
- Training and Expertise: Effective implementation of quantum-inspired solutions entails interdisciplinary proficiency in quantum physics, mathematics as well as financial modelling, at present which are having limited availability. Financial analysts and technologists often lack the quantum-specific skill sets needed to operationalize such models effectively [6].

A gradual, phased approach, combining hybrid classical-quantum systems, may mitigate these challenges while advancing computational capabilities over time.

C. Skepticism Regarding Interpretability and Real-World Applicability

One of the most significant barriers to quantum-inspired risk analysis lies in scepticism from practitioners and stakeholders in the financial industry:

- Model Transparency: Traditional financial models, despite their limitations, provide interpretable metrics that traders, regulators, and policymakers understand. Quantum models, on the other hand, often involve abstract mathematical formulations, such as wave-functions and density matrices, which are difficult to interpret in the context of everyday financial decision-making [19].
- Validation of Results: Real-world applicability demands extensive empirical validation. Critics argue that the absence of practical case studies and longitudinal data supporting quantum models undermines their utility. Theoretical superiority must translate into tangible outcomes to convince practitioners[31].
- Risk of Over complication: Some sceptics caution against overcomplicating risk analysis. Traditional methods like Value at Risk (VaR) or Monte Carlo simulations, while imperfect, provide actionable insights. Introducing quantum methodologies risks alienating stakeholders due to their steep learning curve and potential lack of clarity [29], [30].

To overcome scepticism, researchers must prioritize the development of interpretable, real-world test cases, emphasizing incremental value additions to existing methodologies rather than wholesale replacement.

D. Charting the Path Forward

The challenges outlined above reflect the nascent nature of quantum risk analysis in financial markets. Addressing these obstacles will require a collaborative effort among academics, industry practitioners, and technology developers. Key focus areas include:

- Developing simplified quantum-inspired models that maintain interpretability while enhancing accuracy [5].
- Building interdisciplinary teams to advance the theoretical foundations and computational tools necessary for quantum finance [21].
- Promoting pilot studies and use cases to demonstrate tangible benefits, thereby bridging the gap between theoretical potential and real-world applications[39].

The road to integrating quantum principles into financial markets is fraught with challenges, overcoming these hurdles is essential to unlock the transformative potential of this emerging discipline. The dialogue between quantum science and finance must continue to evolve, fostering innovation while addressing valid critiques.

VIII. CASE STUDY: QUANTUM-INSPIRED RISK METRICS IN THE 2008 CRISIS

Global financial crisis of the year 2008 consider as an example of entangled risk propagation in financial markets. Bankruptcy of “Lehman Brothers” prompted heavy liquidity cascading shocks across global banking systems. VaR, a traditional risk models, failed to identify systemic interdependencies, underestimating contagion effects. With the help of historical financial data, quantum-inspired risk metrics were applied:

- Quantum Value at Risk (QVaR): Detected non-linear correlations and estimated higher tail risks.
- Quantum Risk Volatility (QRV): Identified market more accurate fluctuations than traditional models.
- Entanglement-Based Risk Index (ERI): Showed systemic connectivity before the financial crisis, signifying initial warning signals.

This analysis gives an idea of quantum-inspired methods that offer more holistic approach to financial risk analysis and mitigation, highlighting the need for further research into real-time quantum computing applications in finance.

IX. CONCLUSION

Quantum entanglement presents an innovative paradigm for understanding financial market interconnectivity and risk propagation, offering the potential to surpass classical models in capturing high-dimensional, dynamic dependencies.

While initial frameworks like entangled financial networks and quantum-inspired risk metrics show promise, challenges including computational complexity, interpretability, and empirical validation must be addressed for real-world adoption. By fostering interdisciplinary collaborations between physics, finance, and machine learning, and pursuing targeted empirical research, the theoretical potential of quantum principles can transition to actionable tools. This transformative approach could significantly enhance systemic risk management, portfolio diversification, and real-time risk prediction, paving the way for a more resilient and adaptive financial ecosystem.

REFERENCES

[1] Aboussalah, A. M., Chi, C., & Lee, C.-G. (2023, Dec). Quantum computing reduces systemic risk in financial networks. Scientific Reports, 13(01). doi:10.1038/s41598-023-30710-z

[2] Armstrong, S., Wang, M., Teh, R. Y., Gong, Q., He, Q., Janousek, J., . . . Lam, P. K. (2015, Jan 01). Multipartite Einstein-Podolsky-Rosen steering and genuine tripartite entanglement with optical networks. Nature Physics, 11(02), 167-172. doi:10.1038/nphys3202

[3] Bardoscia, M., Barucca, P., Battiston, S., Caccioli, F., Cimini, G., Garlaschelli, D., . . . Caldarelli, G. (2021, July). The physics of financial networks. Nature Reviews Physics, 03(07), 490-507. doi:10.1038/s42254-021-00322-5

[4] Baumöhl, E., Bouri, E., Hoang, T.-H.-V., Hussain Shahzad, S. J., & Výrost, T. (2022, Apr). Measuring systemic risk in the global banking sector: A cross-quantilogram network approach. Economic Modelling, 109. doi:10.1016/j.econmod.2022.105775

[5] Bhasin, N. K., Kadyan, S., Santosh, K., Hp, R., Changala, R., & Bala, B. K. (2024). Enhancing Quantum Machine Learning Algorithms for Optimized Financial Portfolio Management. 3rd IEEE International Conference on Intelligent Techniques in Control, Optimization and Signal Processing, INCOS 2024. Krishnankoil, Virudhunagar: Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers Inc. doi:10.1109/INCOS59338.2024.10527612

[6] Chaiboonsri, C., & Wannapan, S. (2021, Mar). Applying quantum mechanics for extreme value prediction of VaR and ES in the ASEAN stock exchange. Economies, 09(01). doi:10.3390/economies9010013

[7] Chen, T., Li, Y., Jiang, X., & Shao, L. (2023, Jan). Spatiotemporal Patterns of Risk Propagation in Complex Financial Networks. Applied Sciences (Switzerland), 13(02). doi:10.3390/app13021129

[8] Chou, Y.-H., Lai, Y.-T., Tong, Y. F., Young, A., Chang, M.-H., Wu, K.-M., . . . Kuo, S.-Y. (2024). A Quantum-Inspired Multi-objective Portfolio Strategy Based on Trend Ratio Model in Global Financial Network. 13th IEEE Congress on Evolutionary Computation, CEC 2024. Yokohama: IEEE. doi:10.1109/CEC60901.2024.10611925

[9] Choustova, O. (2007). Quantum-like models in economics and finances. AAAI Spring Symposium - Technical Report, SS-07-08, pp. 150-157. Retrieved Dec 26, 2024, from https://www.scopus.com/record/display.uri?eid=2-s2.0-37349037862&origin=scopusAI

[10] Choustova, O. (2009, Feb 15). Quantum probability and financial market. Information Sciences, 179(05), 478-484. doi:10.1016/j.ins.2008.07.001

[11] Claudiu, B., Cosmin, E., Otniel, D., & Andrei, A. (2023). Enhancing the Financial Sector with Quantum Computing: A Comprehensive Review of Current and Future Applications. 22nd International Conference on Informatics in Economy, IE 2023. 367, pp. 195-203. Bucharest: Springer Science and Business Media Deutschland GmbH. doi:10.1007/978-981-99-6529-8_17

[12] Da, C., & Fan, H.-Y. (2014, May 05). Quantum mechanical state equation for describing evolution of projects of financial investment. Wuli Xuebao/Acta Physica Sinica, 63(09). doi:10.7498/aps.63.098901

[13] Deng, Z., Zhang, J., Xue, R., & Yu, H. (2024, July). Global dynamics of a quantum Cournot duopoly with quadratic costs and relative profit maximization. Quantum Information Processing, 23(07). doi:10.1007/s11128-024-04463-1

[14] Dri, E., Giusto, E., Aita, A., & Montrucchio, B. (2022). Towards practical Quantum Credit Risk Analysis. National Physical Laboratory Joint Symposium on Quantum Technologies. 2416. Teddington: Institute of Physics. doi:10.1088/1742-6596/2416/1/012002

[15] Hao, X., Pan, T., Sha, J.-Q., & Zhu, S.-Q. (2011, Jan). Dynamics of quantum discord in asymmetric and local non-Markovian environments. Communications in Theoretical Physics, 55(01), 41-45. doi:10.1088/0253-6102/55/1/09

[16] Hoffmann, C. H. (2017). Dealing with quantitative risk management in banking as a complex systems problem. In Dynamic Systems: Modeling, Performance and Applications (pp. 01-70). Nova Science Publishers, Inc. Retrieved Dec 24, 2024, from https://www.scopus.com/record/display.uri?eid=2-s2.0-85034617060&origin=scopusAI

[17] Jiang, Y.-C., Chang, M.-H., Chang, Y.-Y., Wu, K.-M., Chen, P.-C., Tong, Y. F., . . . Chou, Y.-H. (2023). Trend Ratio-Based Portfolio Optimization Model Adopting Entanglement-enhanced Quantum-Inspired Evolutionary Computation in the Global Financial Markets. IEEE Congress on Evolutionary Computation, CEC 2023. Chicago: IEEE. doi:10.1109/CEC53210.2023.10254025

[18] Jiang, Y.-C., Lai, Y.-T., Chen, P.-C., Chang, Y.-Y., Wu, K.-M., Kuo, S.-Y., . . . Kuo, S.-Y. (2023). Quantum-inspired Computing: Entanglement-enhanced Technique for Short Portfolio in Global Markets. 23rd IEEE International Conference on Nanotechnology, NANO 2023. Volume 2023-July, pp. 534-538. Jeju City: IEEE. doi:10.1109/NANO58406.2023.10231234

[19] Khaksar, H., Haven, E., Nasiri, S., & Jafari, G. (2021, Feb). Using the quantum potential in elementary portfolio management: Some initial ideas. Entropy, 23(02), 01-07. doi:10.3390/e23020180

[20] Khrennikova, P. (2016, May 15). Application of quantum master equation for long-term prognosis of asset-prices. Physica A: Statistical Mechanics and its Applications, 450, 253-263. doi:10.1016/j.physa.2015.12.135

[21] Kuo, S.-Y., Jiang, Y.-C., Lai, Y.-T., & Chou, Y.-H. (2023). A New Portfolio Optimization Model Considering Hybrid Trading Strategies. IEEE Congress on Evolutionary Computation, CEC 2023. Chicago: Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers Inc. doi:10.1109/CEC53210.2023.10254178

[22] Kupczynski, M. (2016, Jun 01). Operational approach to entanglement and how to certify it. International Journal of Quantum Information, 14(04). doi:10.1142/S0219749916400037

[23] Lei, Z.-Z., Liu, B.-Y., Yi, Y., Dai, H.-Y., & Zhang, M. (2018, Mar). On fairness, full cooperation, and quantum game with incomplete information. Chinese Physics B, 27(03). doi:10.1088/1674-1056/27/3/030202

[24] Mendoza, E. G., & Quadrini, V. (2010, Jan). Financial globalization, financial crises and contagion. Journal of Monetary Economics, 57(01), 24-39. doi:10.1016/j.jmoneco.2009.10.009

[25] Miyamoto, K. (2022, Dec). Quantum algorithm for calculating risk contributions in a credit portfolio. EPJ Quantum Technology, 09(01). doi:10.1140/epjqt/s40507-022-00153-y

[26] Montana, J. R., Souto Arias, L. A., Cirillo, P., & Oosterlee, C. W. (2024, Dec). Quantum Majorization in Market Crash Prediction. Risks, 12(12). doi:10.3390/risks12120204

[27] Moran, A. M. (2012). Quantum entanglement. Nova Science Publishers, Inc. Retrieved Dec 26, 2024, from https://www.scopus.com/record/display.uri?eid=2-s2.0-84895321721&origin=scopusAI

[28] Moreira, C., Tiwari, P., Pandey, H. M., Bruza, P., & Wichert, A. (2020, Dec). Quantum-like influence diagrams for decision-making. Neural Networks, 132, 190-210. doi:10.1016/j.neunet.2020.07.009

[29] Nasiri, S., Bektas, E., & Jafari, G. (2018). Risk Information of Stock Market Using Quantum Potential Constraints. 3rd International Conference on Banking and Finance Perspectives, ICBFP 2018 (pp. 132-138). Famagusta: Springer Science and Business Media B.V. doi:10.1007/978-3-030-01784-2_8

[30] Nasiri, S., Bektas, E., & Jafari, G. (2018). Risk Information of Stock Market Using Quantum Potential Constraints. 3rd International Conference on Banking and Finance Perspectives, ICBFP 2018 (pp. 132-138). Famagusta: Springer Science and Business Media B.V. doi:10.1007/978-3-030-01784-2_8

[31] Orrell, D. (2023). Quantum Financial Entanglement: The Case of Strategic Default. In New Economic Windows (Vol. Volume Part F1452, pp. 85-101). Springer-Verlag Italia s.r.l. doi:10.1007/978-3-031-38833-0_5

[32] Pacelli, V., Cananà, L., Chakraborti, A., Di Tommaso, C., & Foglia, M. (2025). A Holistic Journey into Systemic Risk: Theoretical Background, Transmission Channels and Policy Implications. In New Economic Windows (pp. 43-71). Springer-Verlag Italia s.r.l. doi:10.1007/978-3-031-64916-5_3

[33] Pang, C. (2024, Sept). Risk amplification effect of multilayer financial networks: Feedback mechanism or cyclic structure? Economics Letters, 242. doi:10.1016/j.econlet.2024.111887

[34] Quirici, M. C., & Moro-Visconti, R. (2025). Systemic Risks and Multilayer Financial Networks: From Contagion to Mitigation. In New Economic Windows (pp. 93-111). Springer-Verlag Italia s.r.l. doi:10.1007/978-3-031-64916-5_5

[35] Radhakrishnan, C., Parthasarathy, M., Jambulingam, S., & Byrnes, T. (2016). Coherence Trade-off relations in Multipartite systems. International Conference on the Frontiers in Atomic, Molecular, and Optical Physics, AMO 2016. 752. Shanghai: Institute of Physics Publishing. doi:10.1088/1742-6596/752/1/012003

[36] Shahandeh, F., Lund, A. P., & Ralph, T. C. (2019, May 02). Quantum correlations and global coherence in distributed quantum computing. Physical Review A, 99(05). doi:10.1103/PhysRevA.99.052303

[37] Stamatopoulos, N., Mazzola, G., Woerner, S., & Zeng, W. J. (2022). Towards Quantum Advantage in Financial Market Risk using Quantum Gradient Algorithms. Quantum, 06. doi:10.22331/Q-2022-07-20-770

[38] Tahmasebi, F., Meskinimood, S., Namaki, A., Vasheghani, F. S., Jalalzadeh, S., & Jafari, G. (2015, Feb 01). Financial market images: A practical approach owing to the secret quantum potential. EPL, 109(03). doi:10.1209/0295-5075/109/30001

[39] Wang, J., Zhang, P., & Cai, Y. (2024, Sept). Advancements in MultiMode Quantum Entanglement Networks. Zhongguo Jiguang/Chinese Journal of Lasers, 51(18). doi:10.3788/CJL240894
[40] Wilkens, S., & Moorhouse, J. (2023, Jan). Quantum computing for financial risk measurement. Quantum Information Processing, 22(01). doi:10.1007/s11128-022-03777-2
[41] Xu, J.-S., Xu, X.-Y., Li, C.-F., Zhang, C.-J., Zou, X.-B., & Guo, G.-C. (2010). Experimental investigation of classical and quantum correlations under decoherence. Nature Communications, 01(01). doi:10.1038/ncomms1005
[42] Zhahir, A. A., Shuhud, M. I., Mohd, S. M., Idrus, B., Zainuddin, H., Jan, N. M., & Wahiddin, M. R. (2022). Entanglement Quantification and Classification: A Systematic Literature Review. International Journal of Advanced Computer Science and Applications, 13(05), 218-225. doi:10.14569/IJACSA.2022.0130527
[43] Zhahir, A. A., Shuhud, M. I., Mohd, S. M., Idrus, B., Zainuddin, H., Jan, N. M., & Wahiddin, M. R. (2022). Entanglement Quantification and Classification: A Systematic Literature Review. International Journal of Advanced Computer Science and Applications, 13(05), 218-225. doi:10.14569/IJACSA.2022.0130527
[44] Zhang, C., & Huang, L. (2010, Dec 15). A quantum model for the stock market. Physica A: Statistical Mechanics and its Applications, 389(24), 5769 - 5775. doi:10.1016/j.physa.2010.09.008
[45] Zhang, P., & Cai, Y. (2024, Sept). Advancements in MultiMode Quantum Entanglement Networks. Zhongguo Jiguang/Chinese Journal of Lasers, 51(18). doi:10.3788/CJL240894